

# Walker’s Cancellation Theorem

Robert Lubarsky and Fred Richman

Florida Atlantic University

## Abstract

Walker’s cancellation theorem says that if  $B \oplus \mathbf{Z}$  is isomorphic to  $C \oplus \mathbf{Z}$  in the category of abelian groups, then  $B$  is isomorphic to  $C$ . We construct an example in a diagram category of abelian groups where the theorem fails. As a consequence, the original theorem does not have a constructive proof. In fact, in our example  $B$  and  $C$  are subgroups of  $\mathbf{Z}^2$ . Both of these results contrast with a group whose endomorphism ring has stable range one, which allows a constructive proof of cancellation and also a proof in any diagram category.

## 1 Cancellation

An object  $G$  in an additive category is **cancellable** if whenever  $B \oplus G$  is isomorphic to  $C \oplus G$ , then  $B$  is isomorphic to  $C$ . Walker [6] and independently Cohn [3] answered a question of Kaplansky by showing that finitely generated abelian groups are cancellable in the category of abelian groups. The most interesting case is that of the integers  $\mathbf{Z}$ . That’s because finitely generated groups are direct sums of copies of  $\mathbf{Z}$  and of cyclic groups of prime power order, and a cyclic group of prime power order has a local endomorphism ring, hence is cancellable by a theorem of Azumaya [2].

It is somewhat anomalous that  $\mathbf{Z}$  is cancellable. A rank-one torsion-free group  $A$  is cancellable if and only if  $A \cong \mathbf{Z}$  or the endomorphism ring of  $A$  has stable range one [1, Theorem 8.12],[4]. (A ring  $R$  has **stable range one** if whenever  $aR + bR = R$ , then  $a + bR$  contains a unit of  $R$ .) Thus for rank-one torsion-free groups, the endomorphism ring tells the whole story—except for  $\mathbf{Z}$ . It turns out that an object is cancellable if its endomorphism ring has stable range one. The proof of this in [5, Theorem 4.4] is constructive and works for any abelian category. It is also true, [5], that semilocal rings have stable range one, so Azumaya’s theorem is a special case of this. In fact, that the endomorphism ring of  $A$  has stable range one is equivalent to  $A$  being substitutable, a stronger condition than cancellation [5, Theorem 4.4]. We say that  $A$  is **substitutable** if any two summands of a group, with complements that are isomorphic to  $A$ , have a common complement. The group  $\mathbf{Z}$  is not substitutable: Consider the subgroups of  $\mathbf{Z}^2$  generated by  $(1, 0)$ ,  $(0, 1)$ ,  $(7, 3)$ , and  $(5, 2)$ . The first and second, and the third and fourth, are complementary summands. The second and fourth do not have a common complement because that would require  $(a, b)$  with  $a = \pm 1$  and  $2a - 5b = \pm 1$ .

In this paper we will investigate whether  $\mathbf{Z}$  is cancellable in the (abelian) category  $\mathcal{D}_T(\mathbf{Ab})$  of diagrams of abelian groups based on a fixed finite poset  $T$  with a least element. There is a natural embedding of  $\mathbf{Ab}$  into  $\mathcal{D}_T(\mathbf{Ab})$  given by taking a group into the constant diagram on  $T$  with identity maps between the groups on the nodes. In particular, we can identify the group of integers as an object of  $\mathcal{D}_T(\mathbf{Ab})$ . As the endomorphism ring of any group  $G$  is the same as that of its avatar in  $\mathcal{D}_T(\mathbf{Ab})$ , a substitutable group is substitutable viewed as an object in  $\mathcal{D}_T(\mathbf{Ab})$ . However it turns out that  $\mathbf{Z}$  is not cancellable in  $\mathcal{D}_T(\mathbf{Ab})$  where  $T$  is the linearly ordered set  $\{0, 1, 2\}$ .

It follows that Walker’s theorem does not admit a constructive proof, because the construction can be viewed as a Kripke model counter-example. In fact, it is not even provable for  $B$  and  $C$  subgroups of  $\mathbf{Z}^2$ . It was the question of whether Walker’s theorem had a constructive proof that initiated our investigation. (Most any proof of Azumaya’s theorem is constructive.)

As any homomorphism from an abelian group onto  $\mathbf{Z}$  splits, Walker's theorem can be phrased as follows: If  $A$  is an abelian group, and  $f, g : A \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  are epimorphisms, then  $\ker f \cong \ker g$ . The following theorem gets us part way to a proof of Walker's theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $A$  be an abelian group and  $f, g : A \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  be epimorphisms. Then  $f(\ker g) = g(\ker f)$  so that*

$$\frac{\ker g}{\ker f \cap \ker g} \cong f(\ker g) = g(\ker f) \cong \frac{\ker f}{\ker f \cap \ker g}$$

Thus we get the desired isomorphism  $\ker f \cong \ker g$  if  $\ker f \cap \ker g = 0$  or if  $f(\ker g)$  is projective. Classically, every subgroup of  $\mathbf{Z}$  is projective, so this constitutes a classical proof.

## 2 The example

Our example lives in the category  $\mathcal{D}_T(\mathbf{Ab})$  of diagrams of abelian groups based on the linearly ordered set  $T = \{0, 1, 2\}$ . The example shows that you can't cancel  $\mathbf{Z}$  in  $\mathcal{D}_T(\mathbf{Ab})$ .

The groups on the nodes will be subgroups  $A_0 \subset A_1 \subset A_2 = \mathbf{Z}^3$  defined by generators:

$$A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1, 3, 0 \\ 3, 1, 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1, 0, -24 \\ 0, 1, 8 \\ 0, 0, 64 \end{pmatrix} \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1, 0, 0 \\ 0, 1, 0 \\ 0, 0, 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that  $(0, 8, 0), (8, 0, 0) \in A_0$ . The maps between these groups are inclusions. Define the maps  $f, g : \mathbf{Z}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  by  $f(a, b, c) = a$  and  $g(a, b, c) = b$ . The maps  $f$  and  $g$  each induce maps from these three groups into  $\mathbf{Z}$  which give two maps from the diagram into the constant diagram  $\mathbf{Z}$ . We denote the kernel of the map  $f$  restricted to  $A_i$  by  $\ker_i f$  and similarly for  $g$ . These kernels admit the following generators:

$$\begin{aligned} \ker_0 f = (0, 8, 0) \quad \ker_1 f = \begin{pmatrix} 0, 1, 8 \\ 0, 0, 64 \end{pmatrix} \quad \ker_2 f = \begin{pmatrix} 0, 1, 0 \\ 0, 0, 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \ker_0 g = (8, 0, 0) \quad \ker_1 g = \begin{pmatrix} 1, 0, -24 \\ 0, 0, 64 \end{pmatrix} \quad \ker_2 g = \begin{pmatrix} 1, 0, 0 \\ 0, 0, 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

The diagrams  $B = \ker f$  and  $C = \ker g$  are clearly each embeddable in the diagram  $\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$ . That  $B \oplus \mathbf{Z}$  is isomorphic to  $C \oplus \mathbf{Z}$  follows from the fact that the diagram  $A$  can be written as an internal direct sum  $B \oplus \mathbf{Z}$  and also as an internal direct sum  $C \oplus \mathbf{Z}$ . The generator of  $\mathbf{Z}$  in the first case is the element  $(1, 3, 0)$ , in the second case  $(3, 1, 0)$ .

**Theorem 2.** *There is no isomorphism between  $\ker f$  and  $\ker g$  in  $\mathcal{D}_T(\mathbf{Ab})$ .*

The following result shows that we can't get an example that is a subobject of the diagram  $\mathbf{Z}^n$  using the linearly ordered set  $T = \{0, 1\}$ .

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $T = \{0, 1\}$ . In the category  $\mathcal{D}_T(\mathbf{Ab})$ , if  $A$  and  $B$  are subobjects of  $\mathbf{Z}^n$ , and  $A \oplus \mathbf{Z}$  is isomorphic to  $B \oplus \mathbf{Z}$ , then  $A$  is isomorphic to  $B$ .*

This theorem leaves open the question of whether there is a counterexample of this sort using the poset that looks like a "V".

### 3 Canceling $\mathbf{Z}$ with respect to subgroups of $\mathbf{Q}$

So we can't cancel  $\mathbf{Z}$  with respect to certain subgroups of  $\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$ . What is the situation with respect to subgroups of  $\mathbf{Z}$ ? Constructively:

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $B$  be an abelian group such that every nontrivial homomorphism from  $B$  to  $\mathbf{Z}$  is one-to-one. If  $f$  is a homomorphism from  $B \oplus \mathbf{Z}$  onto  $\mathbf{Z}$ , then  $\ker f$  is isomorphic to  $mB$  for some positive integer  $m$ . Hence if  $B$  is torsion free, then  $\ker f$  is isomorphic to  $B$ .*

Note that any torsion-free group  $B$  of rank at most one satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem.

What other groups  $B$  allow cancellation of  $\mathbf{Z}$ ? It suffices that  $B$  be finitely generated. To see this, look at Theorem 1. If  $\ker f$  is finitely generated, then  $g(\ker f)$  is a finitely generated subgroup of  $\mathbf{Z}$ , hence is projective. From this argument it suffices that any image of  $B$  in  $\mathbf{Z}$  be finitely generated. Notice that subgroups of  $\mathbf{Z}$  need not have this property. What about a direct sum of two groups that allow cancellation of  $\mathbf{Z}$ , such as a direct sum of two subgroups of  $\mathbf{Z}$ ?

## References

- [1] ARNOLD, DAVID M., *Finite rank torsion free abelian groups and rings*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **931**, Springer, 1982.
- [2] AZUMAYA, GORO, Corrections and supplementaries to my paper concerning Krull-Remak-Schmidt's thm, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **1** (1950), 117–124.
- [3] COHN, PAUL M., The complement of a finitely generated direct summand of an abelian group, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **7** (1956), 520–521.
- [4] FUCHS, LASZLO AND FRANS LOONSTRA, On the cancellation of modules in direct sums over Dedekind domains, *Indag. Math.* **33** (1971), 163–169.
- [5] LAM, TSIT YUEN, *A crash course on stable range, cancellation, substitution, and exchange*, [math.berkeley.edu/~lam/ohio.ps](http://math.berkeley.edu/~lam/ohio.ps)
- [6] WALKER, ELBERT A., Cancellation in direct sums of groups, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **7** (1956) 898–902.