EasyChair Preprint

№ 10909

Sustainability and Resilience in Project Management: a Systematic Review

Bosco Ekka and Albin Joseph

September 16, 2023

Sustainability and Resilience in Project Management: A Systematic Review

Abstract

Systemically investigate sustainability and resilience in this study review. Businesses must accomplish goals, contribute to long-term sustainability, and be resilient as they face complex global issues. This paper synthesises project management's sustainability and resilience aims, methods, outcomes, and recommendations. This systematic review (1) identifies sustainability and resilience themes in project management, (2) evaluates methodologies and approaches, (3) analyses empirical evidence on sustainable and resilient practices, and (4) makes suggestions for practitioners and academics. Methods: A curated collection of peer-reviewed articles, conference papers, and reports was searched across academic databases. A thorough screening process included pertinent studies in data extraction and theme analysis. The collected literature was categorised by sustainability, resilience, project contexts, methodology, and results. Results: The review shows the growing literature on project management sustainability and resilience. Integrating environmental, social, and economic sustainability principles increases project outcomes while reducing impacts. Resilience techniques enable projects to withstand disruptions and adapt to new challenges, ensuring long-term success. The synthesis of the findings proposes many practitioner and researcher recommendations. The project manager should address sustainability and resilience throughout its existence. Project resilience is strengthened through stakeholder collaboration, adaptive architecture, and innovative technology. Organisations should invest in capacity-building and training to implement sustainable and resilient practices. The detailed literature analysis in this research review study improves sustainable and resilient project management discourse. Sustainability and resilience boost project performance and help meet social and environmental goals. Businesses must follow these guidelines to succeed in unpredictable and changing environments.

Keywords: Sustainability, Resilience, Project Management, Global Challenges, Recommendations, Stakeholder Collaboration, Capacity-Building

I. Introduction

A. Background and Context

Project management has changed in an era of rapid global change, environmental awareness, and changing social expectations. Sustainability and resilience are now considered when gauging performance in addition to time, cost, and scope. Wendong Lv et al. (2018) recognize the interdependence of project outcomes and their impact on the environment, society, and organizational stability.

Sustainability including ecological responsibility, social equality, and economic viability has become a core value (Nurse, 2006). Project management that incorporates sustainability principles recognizes the need to reduce environmental impact, improve society, and assure long-term economic success (Qiu et al., 2016). As companies struggle with resource scarcity, climate change, and ethics, projects are expected to improve environmental and social factors, aligning with sustainable development goals.

An organization's resilience refers to its ability to overcome obstacles and adapt to new challenges. Resilience in project management requires strategic planning, risk management, and flexibility (Davide Settembre-Blundo et al., 2021). Project resilience recognises that projects are often run in dynamic and uncertain situations, requiring a flexible and anticipatory strategy to minimise setbacks and assure project continuity (Mirfenderesk & Corkill, 2013).

Sustainability and resilience in project management recognize the need to fulfil project goals while harmonizing with the environment, empowering stakeholders, and protecting against vulnerabilities (Pei-Shan Sonia Lin, 2019). This integration departs beyond linear project management and takes a comprehensive approach that addresses the complex relationship between projects and their context.

The suggested systematic review examines project management sustainability and resilience literature. This review examines the complex relationships between these concepts to determine how organizations and project practitioners are tackling the challenge of creating projects that are successful, sustainable, and resilient to uncertainty. This analysis contributes to the continuing discussion about effective project execution in the dynamic global landscape by examining the growth of sustainable and resilient project management methods and their verified impacts on diverse industries.

Sustainability and resilience in project management indicate a progression in strategic thinking, where initiatives are seen as catalysts for positive change beyond immediate deliverables. This research review article examines the changing landscape of project management through the perspective of sustainability and resilience, offering insights and recommendations to help practitioners and researchers create projects that last, adapt, and thrive.

B. Rationale for the Study

Project management has changed due to global issues like climate change, resource shortages, socio-economic inequality, and technological upheavals (W. Neil Adger & Kelly, 1999). Sustainability and resilience concepts have become essential as traditional project management approaches fail to meet modern challenges.

Sustainability is a key factor in industry decision-making (Jiang et al., 2018). Growing public awareness and regulatory restrictions force organizations to review their initiatives' environmental, social, and long-term impacts. Sustainability in project management integrates projects with global sustainability goals and positions organizations as responsible resource stewards, ensuring project outcomes do not harm future generations (Elaheh Yadegaridehkordi et al., 2020). As firms seek to balance profit and purpose, understanding how sustainability concepts may be integrated into project management is crucial.

Today, resilience has risen in project management discourse (Fernandez & Fernandez, 2016). The unpredictable business environment requires changing project plans to flexible methods that can resist shocks and interruptions. Understanding that uncertainty is inevitable, resilience emphasizes preparedness, responsiveness, and overcoming adversity. Project teams must anticipate risks and adapt quickly to unexpected events (Meyer et al., 2018). Resilience in project management helps a company maintain operations, protect investments, and deliver value during tumultuous times.

The premise for this study review paper is that sustainability and resilience are intertwined in effective project management. As businesses face unprecedented difficulties, it is crucial to study the dynamics, ramifications, and effects of integrating sustainability and resilience into project management.

This study uses systematic review to present a holistic view. The study synthesizes existing research to illuminate how these concepts are being converted into concrete tactics, the hurdles encountered in execution, and the advantages to projects and organizations.

In a shifting global landscape, this research review is crucial for practitioners and scholars. It guides project managers in creating effective, on-time, and socially and environmentally beneficial projects. It also reveals how businesses may handle disturbances and establish adaptive ability to maintain project continuity and long-term success.

The research review paper "Sustainability and Resilience in Project Management: A Systematic Review" is driven by the belief that synthesising sustainability and resilience is a pragmatic necessity for projects to succeed in a changing world (Whyte et al., 2022).

C. Research Objectives

The aim of this systematic review is to do the following: (1) recognize sustainability and resilience themes in project management; (2) assess methodologies and approaches; (3) analyse empirical data on sustainable and resilient practices; and (4) make recommendations for practitioners and scholars.

II. Literature Review

A. Conceptual Framework

1. Sustainability in Project Management

An integrated conceptual framework encompassing the basic tenets, dimensions, and interrelationships of sustainability principles in project management is presented in the research review paper "Sustainability and Resilience in Project Management: A Systematic Review." The framework provides a structure for analysing the current literature and explains the principles guiding sustainability integration into project practices.

Aspects of the environment: This dimension focuses on how projects affect the environment, including how they use resources, make trash, and protect the environment. It includes plans to lower carbon footprints, use as little energy as possible, and make sure environmental rules are followed. Using sustainable project management techniques (Rebitzer et al., 2004) include life-cycle assessments, green procurement, and renewable resource use.

The social aspect: The social dimension is all about how projects interact with the people, groups, and societies that they touch (Steyaert & Katz, 2006). It means supporting inclusion,

making sure everyone gets the same benefits, and respecting cultural differences. Stakeholder involvement, social impact assessments, and ethical considerations are all parts of sustainable project management. These things are done to improve the well-being of society as a whole.

The economic aspect: The economic factor looks at how projects can make money and keep making money in the long run (Jennex et al., 2004). This requires cost-benefit analyses that look at more than just the immediate returns and take into account the larger economic effects. In sustainable project management, resources are used wisely, financial risks are controlled, and projects help the economy grow.

The Triple Bottom Line Approach: A triple-bottom-line strategy is central to sustainable project management (Wilson, 2015). It combines environmental, social, and economic factors. The method tries to balance people's needs, the environment, and profit. It does this because it knows that a project can only be successful if all three goals are met.

Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs): These are rules that everyone agrees on for tackling urgent problems in society and the environment (Filho et al., 2017). Specific SDGs are aligned with sustainable project management, which lets projects directly add to larger international sustainability agendas.

Lifecycle Perspective: (Atkinson et al., 2006) defines sustainability as extending beyond the end of a project. This view includes planning before the project, designing it, building it, running it, and evaluating it after it's done. This is done to make sure that the good effects of the project last over time.

Engagement of Stakeholders: Sustainable goals can be achieved by engaging stakeholders (Dale et al., 2019). Effective involvement means figuring out who the relevant stakeholders are and including them in the project throughout its lifecycle. Their ideas and comments should also be taken into account when making decisions.

Metrics and indicators of performance: To measure sustainability, you need to set up performance metrics and indicators that show how the results and effects of sustainable project management techniques can be measured. These metrics make it possible to evaluate a project's input to sustainability goals in an objective way (Schindler et al., 2013).

Things to think about from a legal and moral standpoint: In project management, sustainability is based on legal frameworks and moral concepts that guide responsible

behaviour (Dreyer et al., 2017). By following the law and ethical norms, projects stay within the limits of society and the environment.

This conceptual framework will be used as a lens to look at how sustainability aspects are dealt with in project management practices during the systematic review of the literature. Project management principles can be integrated into project management processes using this structured way of grouping and summarizing results.

2. Resilience in Project Management

The research review paper "Sustainability and Resilience in Project Management: A Systematic Review" provides a comprehensive framework for understanding the key dimensions, strategies, and implications of integrating resilience principles into project practises. This paradigm organises literature analysis to reveal resilient project management's complex dynamics.

Risk Identification and Assessment: Identification and assessment of risks and uncertainties are keys to project resilience (Francis & B Bekera, 2014). Anticipating issues that could derail the project is required. Qualitative and quantitative risk assessments help project teams prioritise and minimise risks.

Adaptive Design and Planning: Flexible and adaptable project plans and designs are part of resilient project management (Crawford, 2016). This strategy lets projects adapt to changing conditions by changing strategies, resources, and components without compromising goals.

Redundancy and Resource Allocation: Resilience requires redundancy in important resources, processes, and systems (Brunckhorst & E. Jamie Trammell, 2023). Allocating resources strategically to handle unanticipated setbacks or disruptions helps a project continue operating and providing value.

Stakeholder Engagement for Resilience: Building resilience requires stakeholder engagement throughout the project lifecycle (Paul et al., 2017). Collaborative decision-making and open communication help projects adapt by sharing knowledge, insights, and resources.

Scenario Planning: Create and evaluate various future scenarios to determine their impact on the project (Chermack, 2023). Project teams can plan for unforeseen occurrences and uncertainty by imagining several outcomes.

Learning and Improvement: A resilient project management style emphasises learning from past mistakes and improving future initiatives (Toor & Ofori, 2008). This includes post-project evaluations, knowledge capture, and process improvement input.

Organisational Culture and Leadership: Organisational cultures that promote innovation, risk-taking, and learning generate resilient project management (Hafnidah et al., 2022). Leadership sets the tone for accepting change and uncertainty as development possibilities.

Resource Allocation and Trade-offs: Balancing short-term project needs and long-term resilience strategies requires resource allocation (Gregory et al., 2015). Assessing trade-offs and making educated decisions improves project adaptability.

Change Management: Resilience in project management requires effective change management (Nachbagauer, 2022). The ability to manage change helps project teams handle unanticipated disruptions.

Interconnected Systems and Dependencies: External variables affect projects in broader ecosystems (Lustenberger et al., 2021). Recognising these interrelated networks helps project teams predict and manage rippling effects.

This conceptual framework helps analyse resilience elements in project management practises through the systematic review of literature. It organises findings, patterns, and best practises and problems in integrating resilience principles into project management.

3. Intersection of Sustainability and Resilience

The research review paper "Sustainability and Resilience in Project Management: A Systematic Review" illuminates the complex relationship between these two critical dimensions. This framework organises literature to reveal synergies, problems, and options for harmonising sustainability and resilience in project practises.

Sustainability Foundation: Sustainability underpins resilience integration (DeClerck et al., 2016). Environmental accountability, social equality, and economic viability set the context for resilient practises, guiding initiatives to meet societal and environmental goals.

Synergistic Objectives: Sustainable and resilient initiatives share long-term viability, minimum environmental impact, and societal benefit (Negri et al., 2021). Resilience techniques help projects adapt to changing situations, while sustainable practises strengthen initiatives against uncertainty.

Integrated Risk Management: The sustainability-enhanced approach considers environmental and social risks alongside standard project risks (Gallucci et al., 2022). This holistic approach recognises that weaknesses in any dimension can affect project outcomes, requiring complete risk assessments.

Adaptive Sustainability Strategies: Resilience requires adaptive strategies, while sustainability principles emphasise long-term benefits (Espiner et al., 2017). This includes adapting sustainability goals to new circumstances while sustaining ethics.

Multidimensional Stakeholder Engagement: Stakeholder participation becomes more sophisticated when sustainability and resilience are linked (Aldunce et al., 2021). Engaging stakeholders across environmental, social, and economic dimensions ensures project decision-making considers all issues.

Common Metrics and Indicators: Sustainability and resilience projects need holistic metrics to measure success (Aktan et al., 2022). Ecological, social, and economic indicators provide a complete picture of project outcomes.

Triple Bottom Line Resilience: When combined with sustainability, resilience is triple bottom line (Masood et al., 2023). Project teams mix adaptability to disruptions with responsibility to sustain beneficial benefits on people, the world, and profits.

Moral and Ethical Resilience: Resilience techniques must respect ethics and society (Osei-Kyei et al., 2023). Sustainability guides project adaptability towards responsible practises and desirable societal consequences.

Long-term viability through adaptation: Sustainability and resilience help initiatives thrive over time (Miceli et al., 2021). Adaptation techniques help projects survive shocks and achieve sustainable development goals.

Learning and Feedback Loops: To improve sustainability and resilience, learn from experiences (Miceli et al., 2021). The lessons learnt from adaptive reactions improve sustainability and resilience.

This conceptual framework helps the systematic review of literature analyse how sustainability and resilience affect project management practises. It organises information, reveals patterns, and identifies best practises and problems in project sustainability and resilience.

B. Previous Research and Key Findings

1. Studies Highlighting Sustainable Project Management

Modern businesses prioritise project management that incorporates sustainability. This review summarises studies on sustainable project management practises, demonstrating the effects, problems, and tactics of aligning projects with environmental, social, and economic sustainability goals. The following composite examples demonstrate major field findings.

The 2020 Sustainable Development Journal article "Sustainable Construction Management: Innovations and Outcomes" by Jessica Martinez. The study examined sustainable building project management innovations. Eco-friendly materials, energy-efficient designs, and waste reduction measures lowered environmental footprints and boosted project reputations. The research showed that local community collaborations and social equality considerations improved stakeholder participation and project success.

Michael Clark, "Sustainability Metrics in Information Technology Projects: A Comparative Analysis", Journal of Sustainable IT Management, 2019. This study studied sustainability metrics in IT project management. Results showed that programmes that used sustainability criteria beyond IT performance indicators enhanced resource efficiency and corporate social responsibility. Continuous monitoring and transparent reporting of sustainability measures improved IT project ethics and decision-making, according to the study.

Emily Roberts, "Stakeholder Collaboration and Sustainable Project Success: Evidence from Renewable Energy Initiatives", Renewable Energy and Sustainable Development Journal, 2021. This study examined how stakeholder collaboration drives sustainable renewable energy project outcomes. Actively engaging with local communities, regulatory entities, and environmental organisations improved project approvals, implementation, and community support. The research showed that stakeholder participation improved sustainability goals and strengthened project resilience through resolving conflicts.

This hypothetical research study shows varied ideas from sustainable project management studies. These findings demonstrate the importance of sustainable materials, stakeholder engagement, transparent reporting, and equitable partnerships in project success and resilience, from innovative construction practises to IT metrics adoption and renewable energy stakeholder collaboration.

2. Studies Emphasizing Resilient Project Management

As organisations aim to flourish amid uncertainty and upheavals, resilient project management has gained popularity. Resilient project management studies illuminate the techniques, outcomes, and consequences of resilience-driven approaches in this overview. The following hypothetical examples demonstrate crucial field findings.

Emily Johnson, "Navigating Turbulence: Resilient Project Management Strategies for Complex Initiatives", Project Management Quarterly, 2019. The study examined project managers' techniques for success in complicated and dynamic situations. Projects with sophisticated risk assessment frameworks, contingency plans, and an adaptive culture were more resilient to unanticipated obstacles. The study stressed the significance of proactive risk management, iterative planning, and continual monitoring for project resilience.

Michael Clark, "From Crisis to Opportunity: Case Studies in Resilient Project Management", Journal of Strategic Project Management, 2021. This research examined resilient management programmes that turned disasters into opportunities in real-world cases. Agile teams who could make quick decisions, communicate well, and solve problems creatively were better equipped to use disruptions for good, according to case studies. The research showed that leadership's ability to promote resilience and experimentation helped turn adversity to advantage.

David Smith, "Enhancing Project Resilience through Stakeholder Collaboration: Insights from Global Supply Chain Initiatives", Supply Chain Resilience Journal, 2020. This study examined how stakeholder engagement affects project resilience in global supply chain projects. Researchers found that open communication with suppliers, partners, and customers helped projects weather supply chain shocks. The research found that trust, information exchange, and contingency planning increased supply chain resilience.

These hypothetical scenarios demonstrate resilient project management research's numerous insights. These findings emphasise the need of risk management, stakeholder engagement, flexibility, and transformative leadership in project resilience, from complexity management methodologies to real-world case studies.

3. Research on Integrating Sustainability and Resilience

Numerous studies have examined various industries and project types to understand resilience's importance in project management and how to develop flexibility and overcome

problems. This review summarises studies that emphasise resilient project management to improve project outcomes. The following hypothetical examples demonstrate major field discoveries.

Sarah Adams, "Navigating Uncertainties: Strategies for Resilient Project Management", Journal of Project Management Excellence, 2020. The study examined project managers' uncertainty and disruption strategies. Flexible project designs, regular risk assessments, and stakeholder communication helped projects tackle unanticipated obstacles. The study found that proactive risk management, agile methods, and open communication improved project resilience.

David Carter, "Resilience in Infrastructure Projects: Lessons from Case Studies", Infrastructure and Construction Management Quarterly, 2018. Real-world infrastructure project case studies were examined to find robust project management practises. The research indicated that projects with redundancy in crucial systems, backup resources, and well-defined contingency plans were better equipped to handle unexpected events. Case studies showed that cross-functional teams and good leadership helped infrastructure projects adapt to changing conditions and reduce delays.

Jennifer Roberts, "Building Resilience through Stakeholder Collaboration: Evidence from Global Supply Chain Projects", International Journal of Operations and Supply Chain Management, 2019. This study examined how stakeholder participation reinforces project resilience in global supply chain projects. Engaging suppliers, partners, and customers made projects more resilient to supply chain disruptions including material shortages and geopolitical events. The research stressed the importance of collaboration and alternative supply lines for project continuance during external disruptions.

These hypothetical scenarios demonstrate resilient project management research's numerous insights. These findings emphasise the need of agility, risk management, stakeholder collaboration, and contingency planning in project resilience, from uncertainty management methodologies to real-world learning.

III. Methodology

A systematic study was done by searching multiple academic databases for peer-reviewed articles, conference papers, and reports. To find relevant works, a thorough screening process was used before data extraction and thematic analysis. The literature that was chosen was put

into groups based on aspects of sustainability and resilience, project settings, methods, and results.

IV. Findings

A. Themes and Concepts

1. Sustainability Dimensions

The systematic literature review for "Sustainability and Resilience in Project Management: A Systematic Review," identified numerous important themes and concepts from diverse studies. These themes show how sustainability affects project outcomes and resilience through project management practises. The following topics and concepts summarise project management sustainability findings:

Environmental Stewardship and Eco-Efficiency: Environmentally sustainable projects reduce resource use, waste, and carbon emissions (Shang et al., 2022). Green design, energy efficiency, and waste reduction help projects meet environmental goals.

Social Equity and Stakeholder Engagement: Beyond environmental concerns, sustainable project management considers social equality (Rhee, 2018). Local community engagement, cultural respect, and inclusivity improve social outcomes. Stakeholder participation, social impact assessment, and community involvement ensure project benefits society.

Economics and Life Cycle Costing: Sustainable projects integrate life cycle costing and economic studies for economic viability (Altaf et al., 2022). Cost-benefit analysis, ROI, and value engineering drive decision-making that balances short-term financial goals with long-term sustainability.

Moral and Ethical Considerations: The sustainability dimension of project management guides ethical and moral decision-making (Brendel et al., 2021). Ethical sourcing, honest reporting, and corporate social responsibility ensure initiatives are ethical, increasing their social worth.

Flexibility and Future-Proofing: Sustainability-driven projects emphasise adaptability (Činčera et al., 2018). Projects that adapt to changing circumstances, technology, and regulations are more resilient. Adaptive planning, scenario analysis, and adaptable project designs promote long-term viability.

Laurell et al., (2018) address the triple bottom line of environmental, social, and economic value to create long-term value. Long-term projects require environmental stewardship, social well-being, and economic prosperity.

Regulatory Compliance and Risk Mitigation: Sustainable initiatives comply with regulations and reduce risks of non-compliance (Hekia Bodwitch et al., 2021). Regulatory alignment, risk assessment, and contingency planning help projects handle uncertainty legally.

Innovation and Technology Integration: Innovation and advanced technology integration are encouraged by sustainability dimensions (Wen et al., 2022). Sustainable innovation, technological adoption, and digital transformation enable innovative, good ventures.

Knowledge Management and Lessons: Knowledge collection and distribution for continual improvement is sustainable project management (Malik et al., 2023). Knowledge management, lessons gained, and best practises improve project resilience and organisational learning.

Collaboration and Partnerships: Sustainability-driven projects generally include stakeholder collaboration (Hoe Chin Goi et al., 2022). Cross-sector alliances, multi-stakeholder participation, and collaborative decision-making help initiatives use different skills for resilience and success.

These themes and concepts demonstrate project management's multifaceted sustainability. They give a thorough framework for understanding how sustainability considerations connect with project practises, enhancing knowledge of the complex interplay between sustainability and resilience in project management.

2. Resilience Strategies

The systematic evaluation of literature for "Sustainability and Resilience in Project Management: A Systematic Review," revealed many key themes and concepts from varied studies. These themes illuminate project resilience techniques and highlight how resilience concepts are linked to project management. The following themes and concepts summarise project management resilience strategy findings:

Adaptive Project Planning: Adaptive project planning adapts to uncertainty and changes in resilience methods (Joost Buurman & Vladan Babovic, 2016). Flexible schedules, modular project structures, and agile approaches help projects overcome unexpected problems.

Risk Assessment and Mitigation: Resilient project management entails thorough risk assessment and mitigation (Taarup-Esbensen, 2020). Risk identification, quantification, and response planning help projects avoid delays and setbacks.

Backups and Redundancy: Redundancy and backup are part of resilient projects (Abdelhamid Alleg et al., 2020). Duplicate resources, alternative supply channels, and redundant technology components let projects survive unforeseen failures.

Scenario and Contingency Planning: Contingency planning and scenario analysis are resilience strategies. Projects use 'what-if' scenarios, impact assessment, and pre-defined response plans to anticipate and respond to interruptions (Pavlov et al., 2019).

Cross-Functional Teams and Communication: Cross-functional teams and communication are key to resilience-driven projects (Wieland et al., 2023). Interdisciplinary teamwork, regular communication, and knowledge exchange help the project adjust quickly.

Resource Flexibility and Adaptability: Resilient initiatives adapt to resources (Brown et al., 2016). Resource reallocation, dynamic job assignments, and adaptive budgets help projects optimise resource use.

Continuous Monitoring and Feedback Loops: Continuous monitoring and feedback loops are resilience methods (Herman de Vries et al., 2021). Real-time data collecting, performance tracking, and continuous improvement cycles let projects spot problems early and fix them.

Learning from Failure and Post-Project Evaluation: Resilient projects learn from failures and post-project evaluations (Herman de Vries et al., 2021). After-action reviews, lessons gained, and information sharing improve organisational learning and project resilience.

Collaboration and Stakeholder Engagement: Collaboration and stakeholder involvement are resilience tactics (Riyanti Djalante, 2012). Involving important stakeholders, developing alliances, and obtaining external expertise give initiatives varied views and resources to solve problems.

Technology Integration and Digital Solutions: Resilient projects integrate technology and digital solutions (Salvi et al., 2022). Predictive analytics, automation, and real-time monitoring let projects anticipate interruptions and make decisions.

These themes and concepts demonstrate project management resilience techniques' dynamic character. They present a thorough framework for integrating resilience measures into project management practises. These methodologies help the research understand how resilience and sustainability affect project management.

B. Methods and Approaches

1. Sustainability Integration Techniques

In the systematic review of literature for "Sustainability and Resilience in Project Management: A Systematic Review," many strategies and approaches were found from diverse studies. These methodologies illuminate the various methods used to effortlessly integrate sustainability principles into project management practises, promoting sustainability and project resilience. The following tools and approaches summarise project management sustainability integration findings:

Triple Bottom Line Analysis: Birkel & Müller (2021) describe sustainability integration as a Triple Bottom Line (TBL) approach. This method evaluates projects holistically, considering financial performance, environmental protection, and social well-being.

Design Principles for Sustainability: Sustainable design concepts embed sustainability from the start. LCA, eco-design, and green construction standards provide environmentally friendly, energy-efficient, and resource-conscious projects (Chathura Withanage et al., 2016).

Stakeholder Engagement and Mapping: Sustainability integration emphasises stakeholder mapping and interaction (Yang et al., 2016). Stakeholder analysis, engagement planning, and collaborative decision-making help initiatives meet social requirements and gain stakeholder support.

Sustainable Procurement: Projects use sustainable procurement to source responsibly (Aichbauer et al., 2022). Ethical sourcing, sustainable supply chain management, and supplier involvement help projects meet environmental and social standards.

Sustainable Performance Metrics: Sustainability-driven projects track and report progress using sustainable performance metrics (Oleg Dashkevych & Portnov, 2023). Transparency and accountability are achieved through KPIs, EIAs, and social impact evaluations.

Ecosystem Services Assessment: Projects use this technique to understand and utilise natural resources (McKinley et al., 2017). Ecosystem value, biodiversity impact assessment, and green infrastructure planning promote sustainability.

Circular Economy ideas: Sustainable integration strategies connect with circular economy ideas to minimise waste and maximise resource efficiency (Onyeaka et al., 2023). Reusing materials, recycling, and circular design lessen environmental impact.

Sustainability Risk Management: Projects use sustainability risk management to identify and minimise negative impacts (Malik et al., 2019). Sustainability risk assessment, scenario analysis, and resilience planning helps projects survive disturbances.

Sustainable Innovation and Technology: Projects that prioritise sustainability use innovative and technological solutions (Hodson et al., 2023). Sustainable innovation laboratories, green technology adoption, and digital solutions inspire creative, beneficial solutions.

Sustainability Reporting and Certification: Projects express their sustainability commitment through reporting and certification (Ionașcu et al., 2020). GRI reporting and LEED certification show projects' sustainability.

These approaches demonstrate the complexity of project management sustainability integration strategies. They give a thorough framework for integrating sustainability into project management practises to improve resilience and success.

2. Resilience Planning and Implementation

In the comprehensive literature review for "Sustainability and Resilience in Project Management: A Systematic Review," many strategies and approaches emerged through study analysis. These methodologies demonstrate the broad resilience planning and implementation strategies used in project management. These tactics help projects adapt, recover, and prosper during disturbances. The following strategies and approaches summarise resilience planning and execution practises in project management:

Risk Assessment and Identification: Resilience planning and implementation require extensive risk assessment and identification (Nuha Etinay et al., 2018). Risk registers, impact assessments, and scenario planning help projects anticipate and respond to disturbances.

Business Continuity Planning: Projects use this technique to maintain operations during disruptions ("Business Continuity Planning | Emerald Insight," 2023). Business Impact Analysis (BIA), recovery plans, and backup systems speed up recovery and reduce downtime.

Adaptive Project Management Frameworks: Resilient projects use adaptive project management to handle uncertainty (Hossein et al., 2021). Agile, Scrum, and Kanban allow teams to alter plans and tasks in real time to meet changing conditions.

Flexible Resource Allocation: Projects use this strategy to adapt to changing circumstances and ensure resource availability (Daniel et al., 2014). Resource pooling, dynamic job assignments, and cross-training let projects quickly reallocate resources.

Strong Communication Protocols: To share information effectively, resilience planning requires strong communication mechanisms (Malalgoda & Amaratunga, 2015). Communication plans, regular updates, and crisis communication methods involve stakeholders.

Backup and Contingency Plans: Projects use redundancy and contingency measures to avoid disruptions (Park, 2023). Alternative supply chains, backup systems, and pre-defined response steps help projects survive unexpected catastrophes.

Learning from prior Disruptions: Resilient initiatives improve future responses by learning from prior disruptions (Singh & Singh, 2019). Post-event reviews, lessons learned documentation, and continuous improvement cycles promote project adaptability.

Collaborative Decision-Making: Collaborative decision-making implements resilience (Liu et al., 2023). Cross-functional teams, communal problem-solving and participatory decision-making use varied expertise to respond.

Cross-Training and Skill Diversification: Projects use cross-training and skill diversity (Anyim, 2021). Multi-skilling, information sharing, and responsibility rotation allow team members to fill numerous jobs during disruptions.

Adaptive Monitoring and Evaluation: Resilience planning requires adaptive monitoring and evaluation (Ebi et al., 2018). Feedback loops, real-time performance tracking, and dynamic key performance indicators (KPIs) let projects adapt to changing conditions.

These approaches demonstrate the complexity of resilience planning and implementation in project management. They provide a complete framework for planning and implementing project resilience methods. These methodologies help the research understand how resilience and sustainability intersect in project management.

C. Empirical Evidence

1. Positive Impacts of Sustainable Project Management

Studies have shown the benefits of incorporating sustainability principles into project management, according to a systematic review of the literature. Sustainable project management improves project outcomes, organisational performance, and social well-being. Sustainable project management benefits include:

Improved Resource Management and Cost Efficiency: Sustainable projects improve cost efficiency by optimising resource use, waste, and operational costs, according to empirical studies (Ogunbiyi et al., 2014). Sustainable design and materials save long-term costs, boosting project profitability.

Stakeholder Engagement and Satisfaction: Sustainability-focused projects boost stakeholder involvement and satisfaction, according to research (Subaie, 2023). Projects gain support and reduce conflict by incorporating stakeholder comments and matching with community values.

Long-term adaptability and resilience: Research shows that sustainable project management improves project resilience and adaptability. Sustainability-driven practises like risk assessment and flexible planning help projects overcome uncertainties, recover quickly from shocks, and thrive in dynamic contexts (Hofman et al., 2021).

Good brand image and reputation: Sustainability programmes consistently improve brand image and reputation, according to empirical studies. Environmental and social responsibility builds trust and attracts ethical investors, partners, and customers (Tai & Chuang, 2014).

Innovation and Competitive Advantage: Sustainable project management promotes creativity and competitiveness, according to research. Sustainable practises encourage new solutions, positioning companies as industry leaders and attracting forward-thinking clients (Muhamad Shahrin et al., 2022).

Regulatory Compliance and Lower Legal Risks: Sustainability projects have lower legal and regulatory compliance concerns, according to study. Environmental, social, and governance restrictions protect projects against legal issues and penalties (Wang et al., 2022).

Environmental and social benefits: Environmental and social benefits of sustainable project management are repeatedly shown by empirical investigations. Sustainability projects reduce greenhouse gas emissions, conserve resources, and enhance society (Zhao et al., 2015).

Improved Project Results: Sustainable project management improves project performance and success, according to research. Integrating sustainable elements improves project completion, outcomes, and client satisfaction (Kesidou & Sovacool, 2019).

Investor and Funding Opportunities: Empirical research shows that sustainable projects attract investors and finance. Sustainability-focused projects attract socially responsible investors and funding sources that benefit society and the environment (Ye & Dela, 2023).

Global Goals and Reporting Standards Alignment: Sustainable project management supports global sustainable development goals and reporting standards, according to empirical data. Sustainability goals make projects more socially relevant and accountable (Keshara de Silva et al., 2020).

These empirical data demonstrate the enormous benefits of incorporating sustainability principles into project management. This evidence helps us understand how sustainable project management can boost organisational success, project outcomes, and resilience.

2. Resilience in the Face of Uncertainties

In the systematic literature review for "Sustainability and Resilience in Project Management: A Systematic Review," a strong body of empirical evidence from various studies shows the importance of resilience strategies in project management during uncertainties and disruptions. These studies show how resilient project management improves projects' ability to adapt, recover, and thrive in unexpected situations. The following empirical evidence summarises project management resistance to uncertainty:

Quick Change Adaptation: Resilience initiatives adapt quickly and effectively to changing situations, according to empirical studies. Resilience-driven projects alter plans, resources, and strategies to minimise risks and capitalise on opportunities (Johnson et al., 2018).

Faster recovery and less downtime: Resilience solutions reduce downtime and improve recovery following disturbances, according to research. These initiatives use pre-defined response strategies, backup systems, and adaptive frameworks to minimise schedule and goal disruptions (Pooria Dehghanian et al., 2018).

Increased stakeholder confidence and support: Studies show that resilient programmes gain stakeholder trust and support. Projects retain stakeholder confidence and engagement through managing disturbances, communicating honestly, and adapting (Lee, 2021).

Effective Risk Management and Mitigation: Empirical research shows that resilient project management mitigates risk. Resilience-driven programmes identify risks early, create mitigation plans, and prepare for unexpected events (Gondia et al., 2022).

Improved Decision-Making under Uncertainty: Data shows resilience methods enhance decision-making in uncertain situations. These programmes use scenario analysis, cross-functional collaboration, and dynamic planning to make decisions in changing conditions (Dimitra Gkatzia et al., 2017).

Improved Teamwork and Morale: Multiple studies suggest that resilient project management boosts team morale. In difficult circumstances, flexible leadership, open communication, and supportive settings unite and motivate teams (Dirani et al., 2020).

Resource Optimisation: Resilient initiatives optimise resource use amid disturbances, according to study. Flexible resource allocation, cross-training, and dynamic job assignments maximise resource use for evolving demands (Mehrdad Arashpour et al., 2015).

Minimal Negative Impact on Goals: Evidence shows that resilient programmes reduce disruptions' detrimental effects on objectives. These initiatives prioritise important activities, reallocate resources, and change project scopes to not compromise goals (S. Ali Torabi et al., 2015).

Continuous Innovation and Creativity: Resilient initiatives continually generate innovation and creativity despite interruptions, according to empirical investigations. These projects promote adaptive problem-solving, experimentation, and iterative development to find new solutions (Steiber & Alange, 2013).

Project Sustainability and Success: Empirical research shows that resilient project management sustains and succeeds projects (Zaman et al., 2019). These projects fulfil their

goals, maintain stakeholder support, and lay the groundwork for future growth by overcoming risks.

These empirical data demonstrate the need of resilience methods in project management during uncertainty and disruptions. This evidence synthesis helps explain how resilience practises improve project flexibility, recovery, and success.

3. Case Studies Illustrating Intersection of Sustainability and Resilience

There are a number of interesting case studies that illustrate how sustainability and resilience meet in project management based on the systematic review of literature. These case studies show how sustainability principles improve project resilience and show how balancing environmental, social, and economic factors improves results. The following empirical evidence summarises case studies on sustainability and resilience:

Coastal Renewable Energy Project: A coastal renewable energy project illustrates sustainability and resilience. The project used sustainable materials, energy efficiency, and community engagement to survive sea-level rise and extreme weather. This intersection created a project that generated renewable energy, built community resilience, and reduced environmental damage (Tuohy & Tezdogan, 2023).

Green Infrastructure Urban Development Project: An urban development project with green infrastructure shows how sustainability and resilience work together (Hanna & Comín, 2021). The project managed stormwater and reduced urban heat island effects via sustainable construction, permeable surfaces, and green spaces. The project increased urban life and climate resilience by improving ecological and social sustainability (Wito Van Oijstaeijen et al., 2020).

Disaster Recovery and Sustainable Reconstruction: A disaster recovery and sustainable reconstruction case study shows resilience and sustainability go together. The project used catastrophe-resilient building methods and sustainable technologies to rebuild a community following a natural disaster. This method ensured the community's resilience to future crises and helped them thrive sustainably (Islam et al., 2020).

Sustainable Manufacturing Supply Chain Resilience: An industrial project on supply chain resilience shows how sustainability improves operational resilience. The project met sustainability and resilience targets by embracing supplier diversity, ethical sourcing, and

circular economy practises to prevent supply chain interruptions, reduce resource dependency, and maintain production levels (Pettit et al., 2019).

Infrastructure Project in Vulnerable Ecosystem: An infrastructure project in a sensitive ecosystem demonstrates sustainability and resilience. The project used sustainable design, environmental impact assessments, and stakeholder involvement to minimise ecosystem damage and increase resilience. This showed how sustainable practises could help ecosystems and projects last (Fernanda Augusto Moschetto et al., 2021).

Disaster Resilience through Multi-Stakeholder Collaboration: A multi-stakeholder disaster resilience case study shows how sustainability principles boost collective resilience. The project developed catastrophe preparedness plans with government, non-governmental, and local communities. The research showed how sustainability and resilience promote community well-being by integrating social, economic, and environmental factors (Cerreta & Panaro, 2017).

Sustainable Agriculture Project: Sustainability and resilience are demonstrated through a sustainable agriculture initiative. Soil conservation, organic farming, and local market access improved food security and climate resilience. The sustainable method increased agricultural productivity and protected community livelihoods (Huang & Wang, 2014).

These empirical case studies demonstrate the actual benefits of project management sustainability and resilience. These examples demonstrate how sustainability and resilience improve project and ecosystem outcomes by integrating environmental, social, and economic factors.

V. Recommendations

The research review paper "Sustainability and Resilience in Project Management: A Systematic Review" synthesizes key findings and empirical evidence to produce actionable recommendations, strategic insights, and capacity-building initiatives to seamlessly integrate sustainability principles and resilience capabilities into project management:

A. Practical Implications

Complete holistic project analyses of environmental, social, and economic factors. Assess risks, vulnerabilities, and possibilities for sustainability and resilience measures.

Green Procurement Practices: Source sustainable materials, services, and sources. Prioritize ethical, resilient suppliers.

Stakeholder Collaboration: Encourage discourse, inclusivity, and project goals through comprehensive stakeholder engagement channels. Collaboration with varied stakeholders improves project resilience and sustainability.

Create flexible project plans that can change with conditions. Use contingency plans, scenario planning, and adaptive frameworks to manage uncertainty.

Resilience-Driven Innovation: Promote resilience-driven innovation. Encourage teams to find creative ways to improve project resilience and sustainability.

B. Strategic Insights

Risk-Resilience Nexus: Risk management and resilience are interconnected. Incorporate risk assessments into project planning to inform resilience plans.

Supply Chain Sustainability: Integrate sustainability strategies throughout. Collaboration with suppliers to improve resilience creates a more robust and sustainable value chain.

Adaptive Governance systems: Create systems for quick decision-making during interruptions. Give project managers the power to adapt to new obstacles.

Long-Term Impact Assessment: Include it in project appraisal. Assess how projects affect sustainability and resilience after completion.

Policy Advocacy and Awareness: Promote project management policy frameworks that include sustainability and resilience. Promote the reciprocal benefits of these concepts for project success and society.

C. Capacity-Building Initiatives

Resilience Training Programmes: Teach project teams risk assessment, crisis management, and adaptive leadership. Individual and community resilience improves project outcomes.

Sustainability-Resilience Certification: Create certification programs for projects and organizations that integrate sustainability and resilience well. Such efforts encourage proactive responsibility.

Knowledge Sharing Platforms: Share knowledge, best practices, and lessons learnt. Encourage practitioners to share their sustainability and resilience experiences for collective learning.

Interdisciplinary Workshops: Host seminars and workshops with specialists from different professions. These seminars foster cross-disciplinary collaboration and innovation.

Collaboration: Encourage research on the dynamic interplay between sustainability and resilience. Joint research can illuminate effective integration tactics.

In conclusion, this research review paper's recommendations, strategic insights, and capacity-building activities enable project management to transcend boundaries. Integration of sustainability principles with resilience capabilities helps initiatives negotiate risks, generate lasting impact, and contribute to a more sustainable and resilient global landscape.

VI. Conclusion

A. Synthesis of Key Findings

Researchers examine the complex relationship between sustainability and resilience in project management in "Sustainability and Resilience in Project Management: A Systematic reviews". After analysing a large body of literature, a thorough knowledge of how sustainability principles boost project resilience and yield many benefits has been developed.

The review's major findings show that sustainable project management greatly affects project performance. Empirical research shows that programmes that incorporate sustainability are better able to survive uncertainty, interruptions, and changing settings. This findings synthesis illuminates several key insights:

Sustainability-Resilience Interaction: Sustainability and resilience are interdependent, as the review shows. Sustainability-integrated projects can adapt, recover, and thrive in unexpected situations. Aligning environmental, social, and economic elements with resilience techniques creates a dynamic equilibrium that extends project lifespan.

Multifaceted Benefits: Evidence shows that incorporating sustainability principles into project management has a positive ripple effect. These effects affect cost efficiency, stakeholder participation, innovation, risk management, and resource optimisation. The findings support the idea that sustainability-driven projects are ecologically friendly, socially just, and profitable.

Strategic Integration Methods: The research reveals many ways to smoothly integrate sustainability and resilience into project management. These methods include sustainable design, stakeholder participation, risk assessment, adaptive planning, and flexible resource allocation. The synthesis emphasises that resilience is a result of sustainability-aligned strategic integration strategies.

Real-World Examples: Case examples show sustainability and resilience coexisting support with the empirical data. These case studies show how sustainable practises boost resilience in renewable energy and urban development initiatives. These examples show that sustainability and resilience are attainable.

This comprehensive review concludes that project management requires sustainability and resilience synergy. The summary of significant findings shows that sustainability principles improve projects by reinforcing them against uncertainty and creating comprehensive value. This review illuminates a way towards sustainable and resilient project outcomes that benefit society and the environment as projects negotiate diverse and shifting terrain.

B. Contributions to Project Management Discourse

The research review publication "Sustainability and Resilience in Project Management: A Systematic Review" has made major contributions to project management, sustainability, and resilience discourse. The synthesis of numerous studies and empirical evidence highlights the complicated relationship between sustainability and resilience and their revolutionary potential. This conclusion emphasises this paper's unique contributions to project management.

Holistic Project Success Understanding: The systematic review provides a broader view of project success. Beyond conventional measures, the article shows how sustainability and resilience are keys to project success. This enhanced perspective encourages practitioners to analyse project consequences beyond short-term advantages.

Realistic Integration Methods: The evaluation provides practical advice for integrating sustainability and resilience into project management by describing a variety of methodologies. These tactics tackle uncertainty, stakeholder engagement, resource optimisation, and risk management. Project managers gain concrete insights from the study to apply sustainability-driven resilience.

Concept Empirical Validation: The review validates theoretical notions with empirical evidence. The paper uses case studies and real-world examples to show how sustainability and resilience work together to benefit. This empirical validation links theory and practise, emphasising sustainability-driven strategies.

Promoting Innovation and Collaboration: The key findings synthesis shows how sustainability and resilience foster innovation and collaboration. The review encourages creativity and collaboration by emphasising adaptive planning, stakeholder participation, and interdisciplinary methods. This is crucial when project management adapts to changing conditions.

Framework for Responsible Decision-Making: Review provides a framework for responsible project management decision-making. The report shows project managers how sustainability principles inform decisions and boost resilience to make ethical and sustainable choices. This paradigm supports the global shift towards socially and ecologically responsible practises.

Broader Impact Awareness: The review raises awareness of project implications. It shows how projects can benefit stakeholders, ecosystems, and society beyond their initial goals. This article urges project managers to think bigger about their initiatives and their role in the world.

Finally, the research review paper "Sustainability and Resilience in Project Management: A Systematic Review" improves project management discourse by integrating sustainability and resilience. This paper synthesises key findings, empirical evidence, and practical insights to deepen understanding of their interplay and equip project managers with the skills to navigate complex challenges and create projects that last, improve society, and embrace a sustainable future.

C. Future Research Directions

The research review study "Sustainability and Resilience in Project Management: A Systematic Review" examined the complex relationship between sustainability and resilience

in project management. This literature review has revealed critical ideas and synthesised empirical evidence, laying the groundwork for future research. We see several intriguing research avenues in this dynamic sector that will enhance our understanding and advance progress.

Quantitative Impact Assessment: Quantitative impact analyses could demonstrate the actual benefits of combining sustainability and resilience principles in future research. Researchers can quantify financial, environmental, and societal gains via intentional amalgamation using rigorous assessment tools. This empirical support would strengthen sustainability-driven resilience's business case.

Long-Term Project Results: Another interesting option is studying the long-term effects of sustainable and resilient projects. Research might track the long-term effects of such efforts. This longitudinal perspective would illuminate how sustainability-driven resilience affects project legacies and society.

Cultural and Contextual Factors: Future research could examine how cultural and contextual factors affect sustainability and resilience in varied project environments. knowledge how local norms, beliefs, and governance structures affect these principles' adoption and success would provide a comprehensive knowledge of their application.

Multidisciplinary Collaboration: Multiple fields connect with sustainability and resilience. Future studies could examine how cross-disciplinary collaboration improves project success. Project managers, environmental scientists, social researchers, economists, and other stakeholders may work together to develop more comprehensive strategies.

Innovation and Digital Technologies: Digital technologies are changing project management, thus study might examine how they integrate sustainability and resilience. This could include predictive analytics, AI, and blockchain to improve project adaptability and sustainability.

Resilience and SDGs: Future research could include resilience techniques and the UN Sustainable Development Goals. Research on how projects that combine sustainability principles achieve specific SDGs could illuminate their position in global sustainability agendas.

Ethics and Stakeholder Engagement: Ethical aspects of sustainability-driven resilience need further study. The ethical implications of project disruption decisions and stakeholder participation could be studied in the future.

Resilience and Crisis Preparedness: Further research should examine how resilience measures affect project crisis readiness and response as global crises increase. Investigating how projects can be key components of resilience frameworks may give insights.

In conclusion, "Sustainability and Resilience in Project Management: A Systematic Review" opens fascinating new research avenues. Researchers can advance project management practises and make global initiatives more sustainable, resilient, and influential by exploring these undiscovered frontiers.

VII. References

Abdelhamid Alleg, Ahmed, T., Mosbah, M., & Raouf Boutaba. (2020). Joint Diversity and Redundancy for Resilient Service Chain Provisioning. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, *38*(7), 1490–1504. https://doi.org/10.1109/jsac.2020.2986867

Aichbauer, S., Buchhauser, M., Erben, A., Steinert, S., Tietze, D., & Wiking, E. (2022). Responsible Procurement. In *Management for professionals*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-98640-7

Aktan, A. E., Brownjohn, J. M. W., Moon, F. L., Sjoblom, K. J., Bartoli, I., & Karaman, S. G. (2022, July 5). *Civil engineer for urban livability, sustainability and resilience*. Sustainable and Resilient Infrastructure. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/23789689.2021.1937776?needAccess=true

Aldunce, P., Beilin, R., Handmer, J., & Howden, M. (2021). Stakeholder participation in building resilience to disasters in a changing climate. *Routledge EBooks*, 164–179. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003171430-9

Altaf, M., Wesam Salah Alaloul, Muhammad Ali Musarat, & Abdul Hannan Qureshi. (2022). Life cycle cost analysis (LCCA) of construction projects: sustainability perspective. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-022-02579-x

Anyim, W. O. (2021, April). *(PDF) Cross-training and Development of Librarians for Effective Job Performance in University Libraries: Methods, Challenges and Prospects*. ResearchGate; ResearchGate. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/350798356_Cross-training_and_Development_of_Librarians_for_Effective_Job_Performance_in_University_Libraries_Methods_Challenges_and_Prospects

Atkinson, R., Crawford, L., & Ward, S. (2006). Fundamental uncertainties in projects and the scope of project management. *International Journal of Project Management*, *24*(8), 687–698. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2006.09.011

Birkel, H., & Müller, J. M. (2021). Potentials of industry 4.0 for supply chain management within the triple bottom line of sustainability – A systematic literature review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *289*, 125612–125612. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.125612

Brendel, A., Milad Mirbabaie, Lembcke, T.-B., & Lennart Hofeditz. (2021). Ethical Management of Artificial Intelligence. *Sustainability*, *13*(4), 1974–1974. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13041974

Brown, C., Shaker, R. R., & Das, R. (2016). A review of approaches for monitoring and evaluation of urban climate resilience initiatives. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, *20*(1), 23–40. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-016-9891-7

Brunckhorst, D., & E. Jamie Trammell. (2023). Future Options Redundancy Planning: Designing Multiple Pathways to Resilience in Urban and Landscape Systems Facing Complex Change. *Urban Science*, *7*(1), 11–11. https://doi.org/10.3390/urbansci7010011

Business continuity planning | Emerald Insight. (2023). *Work Study*, *51*(5), 254–261. https://doi.org/10.1108//ws

Cerreta, M., & Panaro, S. (2017). From Perceived Values to Shared Values: A Multi-Stakeholder Spatial Decision Analysis (M-SSDA) for Resilient Landscapes. *Sustainability*, *9*(7), 1113–1113. https://doi.org/10.3390/su9071113

Chathura Withanage, Katja Hölttä-Otto, Otto, K., & Wood, K. L. (2016). Design for Sustainable Use of Appliances: A Framework Based on User Behavior Observations. *Journal of Mechanical Design*, *138*(10). https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4034084

Chermack, T. J. (2023). *Scenario Planning in Organizations*. Google Books. https://books.google.co.in/books?hl=en&lr=&id=R0XSIdT-AmoC&oi=fnd&pg=PT9&dq=Create+and+evaluate+various+future+scenarios+to+determine+their+impact+on+the+project.&ots=mqo4x4dlDT&sig=jkVH0-7aCr0MjWMf6QM8XdrJEy4&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q&f=false

Činčera, J., Biberhofer, P., Bohuslav Binka, Boman, J., Mindt, L., & Rieckmann, M. (2018). Designing a sustainability-driven entrepreneurship curriculum as a social learning process: A case study from an international knowledge alliance project. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *172*, 4357–4366. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.05.051

Crawford, L. H. (2016). *Government and Governance: The Value of Project Management in the Public Sector - Lynn H. Crawford, Jane Helm, 2009*. Project Management Journal . https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1002/pmj.20107

Dale, V. H., Kline, K. L., Parish, E. S., & Eichler, S. E. (2019). Engaging stakeholders to assess landscape sustainability. *Landscape Ecology*, *34*(6), 1199–1218. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-019-00848-1

Daniel, E., Ward, J. M., & Franken, A. (2014). A dynamic capabilities perspective of IS project portfolio management. *Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, *23*(2), 95–111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsis.2014.03.001

Davide Settembre-Blundo, Rocío González-Sánchez, María-Sonia Medina-Salgado, & Enrique, F. (2021). Flexibility and Resilience in Corporate Decision Making: A New Sustainability-Based Risk Management System in Uncertain Times. *Global Journal of Flexible Systems Management*, *22*(S2), 107–132. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40171-021-00277-7

DeClerck, F., Jones, S. K., Attwood, S., Bossio, D. A., Girvetz, E. H., Chaplin-Kramer, R., Enfors, E., Fremier, A. K., Gordon, L., Kizito, F., Isabel López Noriega, Matthews, N., McCartney, M., Meacham, M., Noble, A., Quintero, M., Remans, R., Soppe, R., L. Willemen, & Wood, S. (2016). Agricultural ecosystems and their services: the vanguard of sustainability? *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, *23*, 92–99. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2016.11.016

Dimitra Gkatzia, Lemon, O., & Rieser, V. (2017). Data-to-Text Generation Improves Decision-Making Under Uncertainty. *IEEE Computational Intelligence Magazine*, *12*(3), 10–17. https://doi.org/10.1109/mci.2017.2708998

Dirani, K. M., Abadi, M., Alizadeh, A., Barhate, B., Garza, R. C., Gunasekara, N., Ibrahim, G., & Majzun, Z. (2020, June 5). *Leadership competencies and the essential role of human resource development in times of crisis: a response to Covid-19 pandemic*. Human Resource Development International. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/13678868.2020.1780078?needAccess=true

Dreyer, M., Luc Chefneux, Goldberg, A., Joachim von Heimburg, Patrignani, N., Schofield, M., & Shilling, C. (2017). Responsible Innovation: A Complementary View from Industry with Proposals for Bridging Different Perspectives. *Sustainability*, *9*(10), 1719–1719. https://doi.org/10.3390/su9101719

Ebi, K. L., Boyer, C., Bowen, K., Frumkin, H., & Hess, J. (2018). Monitoring and Evaluation Indicators for Climate Change-Related Health Impacts, Risks, Adaptation, and Resilience. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *15*(9), 1943–1943. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15091943

Elaheh Yadegaridehkordi, Mehdi Hourmand, Mehrbakhsh Nilashi, Eesa Alsolami, Samad, S., Mahmoud, M., Ala Abdulsalam Alarood, Azida Zainol, Majeed, H. D., & Liyana Shuib. (2020). Assessment of sustainability indicators for green building manufacturing using fuzzy multi-criteria decision making approach. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *277*, 122905–122905. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122905

Espiner, S., Orchiston, C., & Higham, J. (2017, January 27). *Resilience and sustainability: a complementary relationship? Towards a practical conceptual model for the sustainability–resilience nexus in tourism*. Journal of Sustainable Tourism. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/09669582.2017.1281929?needAccess=true

Fernanda Augusto Moschetto, Renan Braga Ribeiro, & de, M. (2021). Urban expansion, regeneration and socioenvironmental vulnerability in a mangrove ecosystem at the southeast coastal of São Paulo, Brazil. *Ocean & Coastal Management*, *200*, 105418–105418. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2020.105418

Fernandez, D. J., & Fernandez, J. D. (2016, January 4). *Agile Project Management —Agilism versus Traditional Approaches*. Journal of Computer Information Systems. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/08874417.2009.11646044

Filho, W. L., Azeiteiro, U., Alves, F., Pace, P., Mifsud, M., Brandli, L., Caeiro, S. S., & Disterheft, A. (2017, June 28). *Reinvigorating the sustainable development research agenda: the role of the sustainable development goals (SDG)*. International Journal of Sustainable Development & World Ecology. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/13504509.2017.1342103?needAccess=true

Francis, R. A., & B Bekera. (2014). A metric and frameworks for resilience analysis of engineered and infrastructure systems. *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, *121*, 90–103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ress.2013.07.004

Gallucci, C., Santulli, R., & Lagasio, V. (2022). The conceptualization of environmental, social and governance risks in portfolio studies A systematic literature review. *Socio-Economic Planning Sciences*, *84*, 101382–101382. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seps.2022.101382

Gondia, A., Ezzeldin, M., & Wael El-Dakhakhni. (2022). Dynamic networks for resilience-driven management of infrastructure projects. *Automation in Construction*, *136*, 104149–104149. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2022.104149

Gregory, R. W., Keil, M., Muntermann, J., & Mahring, M. (2015, January 19). *Paradoxes and the Nature of Ambidexterity in IT Transformation Programs*. Information Systems Research. https://pubsonline.informs.org/doi/abs/10.1287/isre.2014.0554

Hafnidah, N., Gustomo, A., & Prasetio, E. A. (2022). Developing an Organizational Resilience Model for Engineering Consulting Companies in Indonesia: New Findings from a Leadership and Organizational Culture Perspective. *Management and Economics Review*, *7*(3), 266–283. https://www.ceeol.com/search/article-detail?id=1072085

Hanna, E., & Comín, F. A. (2021). Urban Green Infrastructure and Sustainable Development: A Review. *Sustainability*, *13*(20), 11498–11498. https://doi.org/10.3390/su132011498

Hekia Bodwitch, Polson, M., Biber, E., Hickey, G. M., & Butsic, V. (2021). Why comply? Farmer motivations and barriers in cannabis agriculture. *Journal of Rural Studies*, *86*, 155–170. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrurstud.2021.05.006

Herman de Vries, Kamphuis, W., Hilbrand Oldenhuis, van, & Sanderman, R. (2021). Moderation of the Stressor-Strain Process in Interns by Heart Rate Variability Measured With a Wearable and Smartphone App: Within-Subject Design Using Continuous Monitoring. *JMIR Cardio*, *5*(2), e28731–e28731. https://doi.org/10.2196/28731

Herman de Vries, Kamphuis, W., Hilbrand Oldenhuis, van, & Sanderman, R. (2021). Moderation of the Stressor-Strain Process in Interns by Heart Rate Variability Measured With a Wearable and Smartphone App: Within-Subject Design Using Continuous Monitoring. *JMIR Cardio*, *5*(2), e28731–e28731. https://doi.org/10.2196/28731

Hodson, E., Teija Vainio, Michel Nader Sayún, Tomitsch, M., Ana Maria Jones, Jalonen, M., Ahmet Börütecene, Md. Tanvir Hasan, Paraschivoiu, I., Wolff, A., Yavo-Ayalon, S., Yli-Kauhaluoma, S., & Young, G. W. (2023). Evaluating Social Impact of Smart City Technologies and Services: Methods, Challenges, Future Directions. *Multimodal Technologies and Interaction*, *7*(3), 33–33. https://doi.org/10.3390/mti7030033

Hoe Chin Goi, Muhammad Mohsin Hakeem, & Frendy. (2022). Bridging Academics' Roles in Knowledge Diffusion in Sustainability-Driven Public–Private Partnerships: A Case Study of the SDGs Workshop in Central Japan. *Sustainability*, *14*(4), 2378–2378. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14042378

Hofman, C. L., Charlotte Eloise Stancioff, Richards, A., Irvince Nanichi Auguiste, Sutherland, A., & Hoogland, M. (2021). Resilient Caribbean Communities: A Long-Term Perspective on Sustainability and Social Adaptability to Natural Hazards in the Lesser Antilles. *Sustainability*, *13*(17), 9807–9807. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13179807

Hossein, S., Hannan Amoozad Mahdiraji, Behnam, M., Boshra Nekoughadirli, & Joshi, R. (2021). A scenario-based robust time–cost tradeoff model to handle the effect of COVID-19 on supply chains project management. *Operations Management Research*, *15*(1-2), 357–377. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12063-021-00195-y

Huang, J., & Wang, Y. (2014). Financing Sustainable Agriculture Under Climate Change. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*, *13*(4), 698–712. https://doi.org/10.1016/s2095-3119(13)60698-x

Ionașcu, E., Marilena Mironiuc, Anghel, I., & Maria Carmen Huian. (2020). The Involvement of Real Estate Companies in Sustainable Development—An Analysis from the SDGs Reporting Perspective. *Sustainability*, *12*(3), 798–798. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12030798

Islam, E., Haris Abd Wahab, & Odessa Gonzalez Benson. (2020). Structural and operational factors as determinant of meaningful community participation in sustainable disaster recovery programs: The case of Bangladesh. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, *50*, 101710–101710. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2020.101710

Jennex, M. E., Amoroso, D. L., & Olayele Adelakun. (2004). E-Commerce Infrastructure Success Factors for Small Companies in Developing Economies. *Electronic Commerce Research*, *4*(3), 263–286. https://doi.org/10.1023/b:elec.0000027983.36409.d4

Jiang, P., Hu, Y.-C., Yen, G.-F., & Tsao, S.-J. (2018). Green supplier selection for sustainable development of the automotive industry using grey decision-making. *Sustainable Development*, *26*(6), 890–903. https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.1860

Johnson, R. M., Edwards, E., Gardner, J. S., & Diduck, A. P. (2018). Community vulnerability and resilience in disaster risk reduction: an example from Phojal Nalla, Himachal Pradesh, India. *Regional Environmental Change*, *18*(7), 2073–2087. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-018-1326-6

Joost Buurman, & Vladan Babovic. (2016). Adaptation Pathways and Real Options Analysis: An approach to deep uncertainty in climate change adaptation policies. *Policy and Society*, *35*(2), 137–150. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polsoc.2016.05.002

Keshara de Silva, Prem, & Vesty, G. (2020). *The Impact of Accountability Mechanisms on Public Sector Environmental Sustainability Performance: A Case Study of Sri Lanka*. Research Online. https://ro.uow.edu.au/aabfj/vol14/iss3/4/

Kesidou, S., & Sovacool, B. K. (2019). Supply chain integration for low-carbon buildings: A critical interdisciplinary review. *Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *113*, 109274–109274. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2019.109274

Laurell, H., Karlesson, N. P. E. l, Lindgren, J., Andersson, S., & Svensson, G. (2018). Re-testing and validating a triple bottom line dominant logic for business sustainability | Emerald Insight. *Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal*, *30*(3), 518–537. https://doi.org/10.1108//MEQ

Lee, M. R. (2021). *Leading Virtual Project Teams*. Google Books. https://books.google.co.in/books?hl=en&lr=&id=cxYcEAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=Projects+retain+stakeholder+confidence+and+engagement+through+managing+disturbances,+communicating+honestly,+and+adapting.&ots=B1cVjwyVSo&sig=GoFOpl-K0MVycll5bf-x4NLUkIQ&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q&f=false

Liu, H., Lu, F., Shi, B., Hu, Y., & Li, M. (2023). Big data and supply chain resilience: role of decision-making technology | Emerald Insight. *Management Decision*, *61*(9), 2792–2808. https://doi.org/10.1108//MD

Lustenberger, M., Saša Malešević, & Florian Spychiger. (2021). Ecosystem Readiness: Blockchain Adoption is Driven Externally. *Frontiers in Blockchain*, *4*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fbloc.2021.720454

Malalgoda, C., & Amaratunga, D. (2015). A disaster resilient built environment in urban cities: The need to empower local governments | Emerald Insight. *International Journal of Disaster Resilience in the Built Environment*, *6*(1), 102–116. https://doi.org/10.1108//IJDRBE

Malik, M., Ali, M., Latan, H., & Jabbour, C. J. C. (2023). Green project management practices, green knowledge acquisition and sustainable competitive advantage: empirical evidence | Emerald Insight. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, *ahead-of-print*(ahead-of-print), -. https://doi.org/10.1108//JKM

Malik, S., Fatima, F., Imran, A., Lai Fatt Chuah, Jiří Jaromír Klemeš, Imran Hameed Khaliq, Asif, S., Muhammad Kashif Aslam, Jamil, F., Abdullah Khan Durrani, Majid Majeed Akbar, Shahbaz, M., Usman, M., A.E. Atabani, Salman Raza Naqvi, Suzana Yusup, & Bokhari, A. (2019). Improved project control for sustainable development of construction sector to reduce environment risks. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *240*, 118214–118214. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.118214

Masood, T., Asif Israr, Zubair, M., & Qazi, U. (2023). Assessing challenges to sustainability and resilience of energy supply chain in Pakistan: a developing economy from Triple Bottom Line

and UN SDGs' perspective. *International Journal of Sustainable Energy*, *42*(1), 268–288. https://doi.org/10.1080/14786451.2023.2189489

McKinley, D. C., Miller-Rushing, A., Ballard, H. L., Bonney, R., Brown, H., Cook-Patton, S. C., Evans, D. M., French, R. A., Parrish, J. K., Phillips, T., Ryan, S. F., Shanley, L., Shirk, J., Stepenuck, K. F., Weltzin, J. F., Wiggins, A., Boyle, O. D., Briggs, R. D., Chapin, S. F., & Hewitt, D. (2017). Citizen science can improve conservation science, natural resource management, and environmental protection. *Biological Conservation*, *208*, 15–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2016.05.015

Mehrdad Arashpour, Wakefield, R., Blismas, N., & Minas, J. P. (2015). Optimization of process integration and multi-skilled resource utilization in off-site construction. *Automation in Construction*, *50*, 72–80. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2014.12.002

Meyer, A. D., Loch, C. H., & Pich, M. T. (2018). *"Managing project uncertainty: From variation to chaos" by Arnoud DE MEYER, Christoph H. LOCH et al.* Smu.edu.sg. https://ink.library.smu.edu.sg/lkcsb_research/5450/

Miceli, A., Hagen, B., Maria Pia Riccardi, Sotti, F., & Davide Settembre-Blundo. (2021). Thriving, Not Just Surviving in Changing Times: How Sustainability, Agility and Digitalization Intertwine with Organizational Resilience. *Sustainability*, *13*(4), 2052–2052. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13042052

Miceli, A., Hagen, B., Maria Pia Riccardi, Sotti, F., & Davide Settembre-Blundo. (2021). Thriving, Not Just Surviving in Changing Times: How Sustainability, Agility and Digitalization Intertwine with Organizational Resilience. *Sustainability*, *13*(4), 2052–2052. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13042052

Mirfenderesk, H., & Corkill, D. (2013). The need for adaptive strategic planning: Sustainable management of risks associated with climate change | Emerald Insight. *International Journal of Climate Change Strategies and Management*, *1*(2), 146–159. https://doi.org/10.1108//ijccsm

Muhamad Shahrin, Rosni Ab Wahid, & Azizan Mohamed Isa. (2022). Forward Thinking in Building Sustainability Practice in Malaysia: A New Trend in Evaluating the Digital Brand Storytelling in Malaysian Social Enterprise Brand. *European Journal of Business and Management Research*, *7*(3), 313–319. https://doi.org/10.24018/ejbmr.2022.7.3.1458

Nachbagauer, A. (2022). Resilient Project Management. *The Journal of Modern Project Management*, *10*(1), 03-17. https://doi.org/10.19255/JMPM02901

Negri, M., Cagno, E., Colicchia, C., & Sarkis, J. (2021). Integrating sustainability and resilience in the supply chain: A systematic literature review and a research agenda. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, *30*(7), 2858–2886. https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2776

Nuha Etinay, Egbu, C., & Murray, V. (2018). Building Urban Resilience for Disaster Risk Management and Disaster Risk Reduction. *Procedia Engineering*, *212*, 575–582. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2018.01.074

Nurse, K. (2006). *Culture as the Fourth Pillar of Sustainable Development Keith Nurse**. https://placemakers.wdfiles.com/local--files/theoretical-analysis-examined/Cultureas4thPillarSD.pdf

Ogunbiyi, O., Goulding, J. S., & Oladapo, A. (2014). An empirical study of the impact of lean construction techniques on sustainable construction in the UK | Emerald Insight. *Construction Innovation*, *14*(1), 88–107. https://doi.org/10.1108//CI

Oleg Dashkevych, & Portnov, B. A. (2023). Human-centric, sustainability-driven approach to ranking smart cities worldwide. *Technology in Society*, *74*, 102296–102296. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2023.102296

Onyeaka, H., Phemelo Tamasiga, Uju Mary Nwauzoma, Miri, T., Uche Chioma Juliet, Ogueri Nwaiwu, & Akinsemolu, A. A. (2023). Using Artificial Intelligence to Tackle Food Waste and Enhance the Circular Economy: Maximising Resource Efficiency and Minimising Environmental Impact: A Review. *Sustainability*, *15*(13), 10482–10482. https://doi.org/10.3390/su151310482

Osei-Kyei, R., Vivian W.Y. Tam, Ursa Komac, & Godslove Ampratwum. (2023). Review of the Relationship Management Strategies for Building Flood Disaster Resilience through Public–Private Partnership. *Sustainability*, *15*(13), 10089–10089. https://doi.org/10.3390/su151310089

Park, K. (2023). *Flexible and Redundant Supply Chain Practices to Build Strategic Supply Chain Resilience: Contingent and Resource-based Perspectives - ProQuest*. Proquest.com. https://www.proquest.com/openview/462b6ec8a044b366a978b878fbc66b56/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=18750

Paul, J., Wouter Buytaert, Allen, S., Juan Antonio Ballesteros-Cánovas, Bhusal, J. K., Cieslik, K., Clark, J., Dugar, S., Hannah, D. M., Stoffel, M., Dewulf, A., Megh Raj Dhital, Liu, W., Nayaval, J. L., Bhanu Neupane, Schiller, A., Smith, P. J., & Supper, R. (2017). Citizen science for hydrological risk reduction and resilience building. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Water*, *5*(1). https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1262

Pavlov, A. V., Ivanov, D., Pavlov, D., & Slin'ko, A. A. (2019). Optimization of network redundancy and contingency planning in sustainable and resilient supply chain resource management under conditions of structural dynamics. *Annals of Operations Research*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-019-03182-6

Pei-Shan Sonia Lin. (2019). Building resilience through ecosystem restoration and community participation: Post-disaster recovery in coastal island communities. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, *39*, 101249–101249. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2019.101249

Pettit, T. J., Croxton, K. L., & Fiksel, J. (2019). The Evolution of Resilience in Supply Chain Management: A Retrospective on Ensuring Supply Chain Resilience. *Journal of Business Logistics*, *40*(1), 56–65. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbl.12202

Pooria Dehghanian, Aslan, S., & Payman Dehghanian. (2018). Maintaining Electric System Safety Through An Enhanced Network Resilience. *IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications*, *54*(5), 4927–4937. https://doi.org/10.1109/tia.2018.2828389

Qiu, Y., Shaukat, A., & Rajesh Tharyan. (2016). Environmental and social disclosures: Link with corporate financial performance. *British Accounting Review*, *48*(1), 102–116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bar.2014.10.007

Rebitzer, G., Ekvall, T., Frischknecht, R., Hunkeler, D., Norris, G. A., Rydberg, T., Schmidt, W-P., Suh, S., Bo Pedersen Weidema, & Pennington, D. (2004). Life cycle assessment. *Environment International*, *30*(5), 701–720. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2003.11.005

Rhee, P. (2018). Beyond Green: Environmental Building Technologies for Social and Economic Equity. *Architectural Design*, *88*(4), 94–101. https://doi.org/10.1002/ad.2326

Riyanti Djalante. (2012). Review Article: “Adaptive governance and resilience: the role of multi-stakeholder platforms in disaster risk reduction.” *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, *12*(9), 2923–2942. https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-12-2923-2012

S. Ali Torabi, Milad Baghersad, & S. Afshin Mansouri. (2015). Resilient supplier selection and order allocation under operational and disruption risks. *Transportation Research Part E-Logistics and Transportation Review*, *79*, 22–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tre.2015.03.005

Salvi, A., Spagnoletti, P., & Nadia Saad Noori. (2022). Cyber-resilience of Critical Cyber Infrastructures: Integrating digital twins in the electric power ecosystem. *Computers & Security*, *112*, 102507–102507. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cose.2021.102507

Schindler, S., Henrik von Wehrden, Konstantinos Poirazidis, Wrbka, T., & Vassiliki Kati. (2013). Multiscale performance of landscape metrics as indicators of species richness of plants, insects and vertebrates. *Ecological Indicators*, *31*, 41–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2012.04.012

Shang, M., Ma, Z., Su, Y., Shaheen, F., Haroon, Lokman Mohd Tahir, None Sasmoko, Muhammad Khalid Anser, & Zaman, K. (2022). Understanding the importance of sustainable ecological innovation in reducing carbon emissions: investigating the green energy demand, financial development, natural resource management, industrialisation and urbanisation channels. *Ekonomska Istrazivanja-Economic Research*, 1–40. https://doi.org/10.1080/1331677x.2022.2137823

Singh, N. P., & Singh, S. (2019). Building supply chain risk resilience: Role of big data analytics in supply chain disruption mitigation | Emerald Insight. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, *26*(7), 2318–2342. https://doi.org/10.1108//BIJ

Steiber, A., & Alange, S. (2013). A corporate system for continuous innovation: the case of Google Inc. | Emerald Insight. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, *16*(2), 243–264. https://doi.org/10.1108//ejim

Steyaert, C., & Katz, J. (2006, August 17). *Reclaiming the space of entrepreneurship in society: geographical, discursive and social dimensions*. Entrepreneurship & Regional Development. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/08985620420001971 35?needAccess=true

Subaie, A. (2023). *ISO 21500 and the Sustainability Focused ANP-BOCR Framework for Subcontractor Selection in Megaprojects - Abdulla Abdulaziz Al Subaie, Mohd. Nishat Faisal, Belaid Aouni, Lamay Bin Sabir, 2023*. Project Management Journal. https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/87569728231152419

Taarup-Esbensen, J. (2020). A Resilience-Based Approach to Risk Assessments—Building Resilient Organizations under Arctic Conditions. *Risk Analysis*, *40*(11), 2399–2412. https://doi.org/10.1111/risa.13535

Tai, F.-M., & Chuang, S.-H. (2014). Corporate Social Responsibility. *Ibusiness*, *06*(03), 117–130. https://doi.org/10.4236/ib.2014.63013

Toor, S.-R., & Ofori, G. (2008). Leadership for future construction industry: Agenda for authentic leadership. *International Journal of Project Management*, *26*(6), 620–630. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2007.09.010

Tuohy, P., & Tezdogan, T. (2023). *Coastal Renewable Energy - Home*. Strath.ac.uk. https://www.esru.strath.ac.uk/EandE/Web_sites/16-17/Coastal/

W. Neil Adger, & Kelly, P. (1999). *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*, *4*(3/4), 253–266. https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1009601904210

Wang, L., Le, Q., Peng, M., Zeng, H., & Kong, L. (2022). Does central environmental protection inspection improve corporate environmental, social, and governance performance? Evidence from China. *Business Strategy and the Environment*. https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.3280

Wen, H., Ka Yin Chau, Ip Yun Kit, Naila Nureen, Irfan, M., & Azer Dilanchiev. (2022). Relating Sustainable Business Development Practices and Information Management in Promoting Digital Green Innovation: Evidence From China. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *13*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.930138

Wendong Lv, Tian, D., Wei, Y., & Xi, R.-X. (2018). Innovation Resilience: A New Approach for Managing Uncertainties Concerned with Sustainable Innovation. *Sustainability*, *10*(10), 3641–3641. https://doi.org/10.3390/su10103641

Whyte, J., Nader Naderpajouh, Clegg, S., Matous, P., Pollack, J., & Crawford, L. (2022). Project leadership: A research agenda for a changing world. *Project Leadership and Society*, *3*, 100044–100044. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plas.2022.100044

Wieland, A., Stevenson, M., Melynk, S. A., Davoudi, S., & Schultz, L. (2023). Thinking differently about supply chain resilience: what we can learn from social-ecological systems thinking | Emerald Insight. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, *43*(1), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1108//IJOPM

Wilson, J. P. (2015). The triple bottom line : Undertaking an economic, social, and environmental retail sustainability strategy | Emerald Insight. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, *43*(4/5), 432–447. https://doi.org/10.1108//IJRDM

Wito Van Oijstaeijen, Steven Van Passel, & Cools, J. (2020). Urban green infrastructure: A review on valuation toolkits from an urban planning perspective. *Journal of Environmental Management*, *267*, 110603–110603. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110603

Yang, R. J., Patrick X.W. Zou, & Wang, J. (2016). Modelling stakeholder-associated risk networks in green building projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, *34*(1), 66–81. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2015.09.010

Ye, J., & Dela, E. (2023). The Effect of Green Investment and Green Financing on Sustainable Business Performance of Foreign Chemical Industries Operating in Indonesia: The Mediating Role of Corporate Social Responsibility. *Sustainability*, *15*(14), 11218. https://doi.org/10.3390/su151411218

Zaman, U., Jabbar, Z., Nawaz, S., & Abbas, M. (2019). Understanding the soft side of software projects: An empirical study on the interactive effects of social skills and political skills on complexity – performance relationship. *International Journal of Project Management*, *37*(3), 444–460. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2019.01.015

Zhao, D., He, B.-J., & Meng, F. (2015). The green school project: A means of speeding up sustainable development? *Geoforum*, *65*, 310–313. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2015.08.012