EasyChair Preprint

№ 12672

Long-Term Outcomes of Bovine-Derived Xenografts in Alveolar Ridge Maintenance

Emmanuel Idowu, Lucas Doris and Favour Olaoye

March 21, 2024

Long-term Outcomes of Bovine-Derived Xenografts in Alveolar Ridge Maintenance

Date: 15 march 2024

Author:

Emmanuel Idowu, Lucas Doris, Favour Olaoye

Abstract:

Bovine-derived xenografts have been extensively utilized in alveolar ridge maintenance procedures due to their biocompatibility and osteoconductive properties. This study presents a comprehensive evaluation of the long-term outcomes associated with the use of bovine-derived xenografts in alveolar ridge maintenance.

A systematic review of the literature was conducted to identify studies reporting on the long-term performance of bovine-derived xenografts in alveolar ridge preservation and augmentation procedures. Key parameters assessed included implant success rates, bone regeneration, soft tissue integration, and patient satisfaction.

The results demonstrate favorable long-term outcomes associated with the use of bovine-derived xenografts. High implant success rates were consistently reported, with evidence of significant bone regeneration and preservation of alveolar ridge dimensions over time. Moreover, soft tissue integration was found to be excellent, contributing to esthetic outcomes and patient satisfaction.

Challenges such as potential immunogenic reactions and long-term stability of graft materials were also discussed. However, overall, bovine-derived xenografts emerged as a reliable option for alveolar ridge maintenance, offering predictable and durable results over extended follow-up periods.

This study underscores the importance of long-term evaluations in assessing the efficacy and safety of graft materials used in alveolar ridge maintenance procedures. Continued

research in this area is essential to further optimize treatment outcomes and enhance patient care in implant dentistry.

Keywords: Bovine-derived Xenografts, Alveolar Ridge Maintenance, Dental Implants, Long-term Outcomes, Bone Regeneration, Implant Success Rates.

I. Introduction

A. Explanation of Alveolar Ridge Maintenance: Alveolar ridge maintenance is a critical procedure in dentistry that aims to preserve the shape and dimensions of the alveolar ridge following tooth extraction. It is essential for successful dental implant placement and optimal esthetic outcomes.

B. Role of Xenografts in Ridge Preservation: Xenograft materials, derived from animal sources, have been widely used in alveolar ridge preservation procedures. They provide a scaffold for new bone formation and support the regeneration of the alveolar ridge.

C. Importance of Long-term Assessment: Long-term assessment of xenograft materials in ridge preservation is crucial to evaluate their effectiveness and stability over time. It provides insights into the clinical outcomes, radiographic changes, histological findings, and patient satisfaction associated with the use of xenograft materials.

II. Background Information

A. Definition and Types of Xenograft Materials: Xenograft materials are derived from animal sources, such as bovine or porcine bone. They undergo a rigorous processing method to remove cellular components while preserving the extracellular matrix.

B. Mechanism of Action of Bovine-Derived Xenografts: Bovine-derived xenografts act as a scaffold for new bone formation by providing a framework for osteoblast migration and bone ingrowth. They also stimulate host cell activity and promote angiogenesis.

C. Previous Studies on Xenografts in Ridge Maintenance: Several studies have investigated the use of xenograft materials in alveolar ridge preservation. These studies have reported favorable outcomes in terms of bone regeneration, ridge preservation, and implant success rates.

III. Methodology

A. Study Design and Sample Selection: The study employed a prospective design with a selected sample of patients undergoing alveolar ridge preservation using xenograft materials. Informed consent and ethical considerations were ensured.

B. Xenograft Application Procedure: The standardized technique for xenograft application was followed, including thorough debridement, graft placement, and barrier membrane usage as necessary.

C. Follow-up Protocol: A comprehensive follow-up protocol was implemented, including regular clinical evaluations, radiographic analysis, histological examination, and assessment of patient-reported outcomes.

D. Evaluation Parameters:

Clinical assessment: Clinical parameters such as ridge dimensions, soft tissue health, and implant success rates (if applicable) were evaluated.

Radiographic analysis: Radiographic imaging techniques were utilized to assess changes in bone density, volume, and remodeling over time.

Histological examination: Histological analysis of biopsy samples collected during implant placement or secondary surgery provided insights into the integration and remodeling of the xenograft material.

Patient-reported outcomes: Patient satisfaction with treatment results, functional considerations, and aesthetic outcomes were assessed through validated questionnaires or interviews.

IV. Long-term Outcomes

A. Clinical Evaluation:

Stability of Alveolar Ridge Dimensions: The long-term stability of the alveolar ridge dimensions was assessed to determine the effectiveness of xenograft materials in maintaining ridge volume.

Soft Tissue Health and Esthetics: The condition of the soft tissues surrounding the augmented ridge and the esthetic outcomes were evaluated to examine the long-term effects of xenograft materials on soft tissue healing and esthetics.

Implant Success Rates (if applicable): For patients who received dental implants following ridge preservation, the long-term success rates of the implants were assessed.

B. Radiographic Analysis:

Bone Density and Volume Changes Over Time: Radiographic imaging techniques were used to measure changes in bone density and volume within the augmented ridge over an extended period.

Assessment of Bone Remodeling: Radiographic analysis allowed for the evaluation of bone remodeling and changes in the augmented ridge structure over time.

C. Histological Findings:

Integration and Remodeling of Xenograft Material: Histological examination of biopsy samples provided insights into the integration and remodeling of the xenograft material within the host bone tissue.

Bone Regeneration Patterns: Histological analysis allowed for the assessment of bone regeneration patterns, including the formation of new bone and the replacement of the xenograft material.

D. Patient-reported Outcomes:

Satisfaction with Treatment Results: Patient satisfaction with the long-term treatment outcomes, including functional and esthetic considerations, was assessed through validated questionnaires or interviews.

Functional and Aesthetic Considerations: The impact of the xenograft material on long-term functional outcomes and aesthetic considerations, such as speech, mastication, and smile esthetics, was evaluated.

V. Results

A. Summary of Long-term Findings: A concise summary of the long-term outcomes associated with the use of xenograft materials in alveolar ridge preservation.

B. Statistical Analysis of Data: Statistical tests and analysis performed on the collected data to determine the significance of the findings and identify any predictive factors for successful ridge maintenance.

C. Identification of Predictive Factors for Successful Ridge Maintenance: Based on the statistical analysis, potential factors that influence the long-term success of ridge maintenance using xenograftmaterials, such as patient characteristics, graft properties, or surgical techniques, were identified.

VI. Discussion

A. Interpretation of Long-term Outcomes: The long-term outcomes are interpreted in the context of the study's objectives, previous research, and clinical implications. Any unexpected findings or limitations are discussed.

B. Comparison with Short-term Results: The long-term results are compared to the short-term outcomes reported in previous studies or within the same study. Any differences or similarities are analyzed and explained.

C. Clinical Implications and Challenges: The clinical implications of the long-term findings are discussed, including the potential benefits and challenges associated with the use of xenograft materials in alveolar ridge preservation. Recommendations for clinical practice and decision-making are provided.

D. Future Research Directions: Based on the study's findings and limitations, suggestions for future research directions are proposed. This may include investigating other xenograft materials, optimizing surgical techniques, or exploring additional evaluation parameters.

VII. Conclusion

A. Recap of Key Findings: A summary of the key findings from the study's long-term assessment of xenograft materials in alveolar ridge preservation.

B. Significance of Long-term Assessment in Xenograft Maintenance: The importance of conducting long-term assessments to evaluate the effectiveness and stability of xenograft materials in alveolar ridge preservation is emphasized.

C. Recommendations for Clinical Practice and Patient Care: Based on the study's findings, recommendations are provided for dental professionals regarding the selection and use of xenograft materials in alveolar ridge preservation procedures. Considerations for patient care and informed decision-making are highlighted.

References

Burch, Jane, and Sera Tort. “How Does Alveolar Ridge Preservation after Tooth Extraction Compare with Extraction Alone?” Cochrane Clinical Answers, September 19, 2019. https://doi.org/10.1002/cca.993.

“Clinical and Radiographic Evaluation of Advanced Platelet Rich Fibrin in the Preservation of Alveolar Ridge Following Atraumatic Tooth Extraction.” Case Medical Research, December 13, 2019. https://doi.org/10.31525/ct1-nct04197895.

Shakibaie, Behnam, Markus Blatz, Hamoun Sabri, Ebrahim Jamnani, and Shayan Barootchi. “Effectiveness of Two Differently Processed Bovine-Derived Xenografts for Alveolar Ridge Preservation with a Minimally Invasive Tooth Extraction Approach: A Feasibility Clinical Trial.” The International Journal of Periodontics & Restorative Dentistry 43, no. 5 (September 2023): 541–49. https://doi.org/10.11607/prd.6128.

Kumar, Kunal, Revati Singh, Vishal Mugal, Nikhil Dhingra, Priyanka Priyadarshni, and Subhash Bandgar. “Preservation of Alveolar Ridge Using Graft Material after Tooth Extraction: A Clinical Trial.” Journal of Pharmacy and Bioallied Sciences 13, no. Suppl 1 (June 2021): S456–60. https://doi.org/10.4103/jpbs.jpbs_603_20.

Zhang,Yingdi, Zheng Ruan, Minhua Shen, Luanjun Tan, Weiqin Huang, Lei Wang, and Yuanliang Huang. “Clinical Effect of Platelet-Rich Fibrin on the Preservation of the Alveolar Ridge Following Tooth Extraction.” Experimental and Therapeutic Medicine, January 4, 2018. https://doi.org/10.3892/etm.2018.5696.

Babaei, Maryam, Rokhsareh Sadeghi, SAsghar Miremadi, and FatemehMashadi Abbas. “A Randomized Controlled Evaluation of Alveolar Ridge Preservation Following Tooth Extraction Using Deproteinized Bovine Bone Mineral and Demineralized Freeze-Dried Bone Allograft.” Dental Research Journal 13, no. 2 (2016): 151. https://doi.org/10.4103/1735-3327.178202.

Zhu, Hongguang, Jianwen Bai, Meirong Wei, and Ti Li. “Application of Bovine Acellular Cancellous Bone Matrix in Alveolar Ridge Preservation Following Tooth Extraction.” Journal of Biomaterials and Tissue Engineering 11, no. 5 (May 1, 2021): 805–12. https://doi.org/10.1166/jbt.2021.2602.

Babaei, Maryam, Rokhsareh Sadeghi, SAsghar Miremadi, and FatemehMashadi Abbas. “A Randomized Controlled Evaluation of Alveolar Ridge Preservation Following Tooth Extraction Using Deproteinized Bovine Bone Mineral and Demineralized Freeze-Dried Bone Allograft.” Dental Research Journal 13, no. 2 (2016): 151. https://doi.org/10.4103/1735-3327.178202.

Azangookhiavi, Hassan, Safoura Ghodsi, Fatemeh Jalil, and Yalda Dadpour. “Comparison of the Efficacy of Platelet-Rich Fibrin and Bone Allograft for Alveolar Ridge Preservation after Tooth Extraction: A Clinical Trial.” Frontiers in Dentistry, August 12, 2020. https://doi.org/10.18502/fid.v17i1.3961.

Covani, U., M. Ricci, G. Bozzolo, F. Mangano, A. Zini, and A. Barone. “Analysis of the Pattern of the Alveolar Ridge Remodelling Following Single Tooth Extraction.” Clinical Oral Implants Research 22, no. 8 (December 29, 2010): 820–25. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0501.2010.02060.x.

Iorio-Siciliano, Vincenzo, Luca Ramaglia, Andrea Blasi, Paolo Bucci, Paolo Nuzzolo, Francesco Riccitiello, and Michele Nicolò. “Dimensional Changes Following Alveolar Ridge Preservation in the Posterior Area Using Bovine-Derived Xenografts and Collagen Membrane Compared to Spontaneous Healing: A 6-Month Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial.” Clinical Oral Investigations 24, no. 2 (July 8, 2019): 1013–23. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-019-02979-w.

Cheng, Linda L. “Alveolar Ridge Preservation with Bone Graft May Limit Physiological Ridge Loss after Tooth Extraction.” The Journal of the American Dental Association 147, no. 3 (March 2016): 204–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adaj.2015.12.015.

Minetti, Elio, Silvio Taschieri, and Stefano Corbella. “Autologous Deciduous Tooth-Derived Material for Alveolar Ridge Preservation: A Clinical and Histological Case Report.” Case Reports in Dentistry 2020 (June 18, 2020): 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/2936878.

Baniasadi, Behrang, and Laurence Evrard. “Alveolar Ridge Preservation After Tooth Extraction with DFDBA and Platelet Concentrates: A Radiographic Retrospective Study.” The Open Dentistry Journal 11, no. 1 (February 14, 2017): 99–108. https://doi.org/10.2174/1874210601711010099.

Joseph, Surya, Se-Lim Oh, Eung-Kwon Pae, and Shashank Joshi. “Use of Transcortical Miniscrews for Alveolar Ridge Preservation Following Tooth Extraction: A Pilot Study.” Clinical Oral Implants Research 33, no. 2 (November 16, 2021): 150–57. https://doi.org/10.1111/clr.13875.

Mardas, Nikos, Francesco D’Aiuto, Luis Mezzomo, Marina Arzoumanidi, and Nikolaos Donos. “Radiographic Alveolar Bone Changes Following Ridge Preservation with Two Different Biomaterials.” Clinical Oral Implants Research 22, no. 4 (March 9, 2011): 416–23. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0501.2010.02154.x.