

Note on the Riemann Hypothesis

Frank Vega

EasyChair preprints are intended for rapid dissemination of research results and are integrated with the rest of EasyChair.

Note on the Riemann Hypothesis

Frank Vega

CopSonic, 1471 Route de Saint-Nauphary 82000 Montauban, France vega.frank@gmail.com

Abstract-In mathematics, the Riemann hypothesis is a conjecture that the Riemann zeta function has its zeros only at the negative even integers and complex numbers with real part $\frac{1}{2}$. In 2011, Solé and and Planat stated that the Riemann hypothesis is true if and only if the inequality $\prod_{q \leq q_n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right) > \frac{e^{\gamma}}{\zeta(2)} \times \log \theta(q_n)$ is satisfied for all primes $q_n > 3$, where $\theta(x)$ is the Chebyshev function, $\gamma \approx 0.57721$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant and $\zeta(x)$ is the Riemann zeta function. We call this inequality as the Dedekind inequality. We can deduce from that paper, if the Riemann hypothesis is false, then the Dedekind inequality is not satisfied for infinitely many prime numbers q_n . Using this argument, we prove the Riemann hypothesis is true when $\theta(q_n)^{1+\frac{1}{q_n}} \geq \theta(q_{n+1})$ holds for a sufficiently large prime number q_n . We show this is equivalent to show that the Riemann hypothesis is true when $(1-\frac{0.15}{\log^3 x})^{\frac{1}{x}}\times x^{\frac{1}{x}}\geq 1+\frac{\log(1-\frac{0.15}{\log^3 x})+\log x}{x} \text{ is always satisfied for every sufficiently large positive number } x. \text{ Using the Puiseux series, we check by computer that } (1-\frac{0.15}{\log^3 x})^{\frac{1}{x}}\times x^{\frac{1}{x}} \text{ is }$ $1 + \frac{\log(1 - \frac{0.15}{\log^3 x}) + \log x}{x} + O\left(\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^2\right)$ in the series expansion at

Index Terms—Riemann hypothesis, prime numbers, Dedekind function, Chebyshev function, Riemann zeta function

1. Introduction

The Riemann hypothesis is a conjecture that the Riemann zeta function has its zeros only at the negative even integers and complex numbers with real part $\frac{1}{2}$ (Borwein et al., 2008). The Riemann hypothesis belongs to the David Hilbert's list of 23 unsolved problems (Borwein et al., 2008). Besides, it is one of the Clay Mathematics Institute's Millennium Prize Problems (Borwein et al., 2008). In mathematics, the Chebyshev function $\theta(x)$ is given by

$$\theta(x) = \sum_{p \le x} \log p$$

with the sum extending over all prime numbers p that are less than or equal to x (Nicolas, 1983). We denote the n^{th} prime number as q_n . We know the following properties for the Chebyshev function:

Theorem 1.1. For all $n \ge 2$, we have (Ghosh, 2019):

$$n \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log n} + \frac{\log \log n}{4 \times \log^2 n}\right) \le \frac{\theta(q_n)}{\log q_{n+1}}.$$

Theorem 1.2. For every $x \ge 19035709163$ (Axler, 2018):

$$\theta(x) > (1 - \frac{0.15}{\log^3 x}) \times x.$$

Besides, we define the prime counting function $\pi(x)$ as

$$\pi(x) = \sum_{p \le x} 1.$$

We also know this property for the prime counting function:

Theorem 1.3. For every $x \ge 19027490297$ (Axler, 2018):

$$\pi(x) > \eta_x$$

where

$$\eta_x = \frac{x}{\log x} + \frac{x}{\log^2 x} + \frac{2 \times x}{\log^3 x} + \frac{5.85 \times x}{\log^4 x} + \frac{23.85 \times x}{\log^5 x} + \frac{119.25 \times x}{\log^6 x} + \frac{715.5 \times x}{\log^7 x} + \frac{5008.5 \times x}{\log^8 x}.$$

In mathematics, $\Psi=n\times\prod_{q\mid n}\left(1+\frac{1}{q}\right)$ is called the Dedekind Ψ function, where $q\mid n$ means the prime q divides n. Say Dedekind (q_n) holds provided

$$\prod_{q \le q_n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{q} \right) > \frac{e^{\gamma}}{\zeta(2)} \times \log \theta(q_n).$$

The constant $\gamma \approx 0.57721$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant, log is the natural logarithm and $\zeta(x)$ is the Riemann zeta function. The importance of this inequality is:

Theorem 1.4. Dedekind (q_n) holds for all prime numbers $q_n > 3$ if and only if the Riemann hypothesis is true (Solé and Planat, 2011).

We define $H=\gamma-B$ such that $B\approx 0.2614972128$ is the Meissel-Mertens constant (Mertens, 1874). We know from the constant H, the following formula:

Theorem 1.5. We have that (Choie et al., 2007):

$$\sum_{q} \left(\log(\frac{q}{q-1}) - \frac{1}{q} \right) = \gamma - B = H.$$

We know this value of the Riemann zeta function:

Theorem 1.6. It is known that (Edwards, 2001):

$$\zeta(2) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{q_k^2}} = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{q_k^2}{q_k^2 - 1} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}.$$

We check the following result from the web site https://www.wolframalpha.com/input:

Theorem 1.7. Using the Puiseux series, we have that $(1 - \frac{0.15}{\log^3 x})^{\frac{1}{x}} \times x^{\frac{1}{x}}$ is $1 + \frac{\log(1 - \frac{0.15}{\log^3 x}) + \log x}{x} + O\left(\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^2\right)$ in the series expansion at $x = \infty$ (A Wolfram Web Resource, 2022).

Putting all together yields another evidence for the Riemann hypothesis using the Chebyshev function.

2. Results

Theorem 2.1. If the Riemann hypothesis is false, then there are infinitely many prime numbers q_n for which Dedekind (q_n) do not hold.

Proof. If the Riemann hypothesis is false, then we consider the function (Solé and Planat, 2011):

$$g(x) = \frac{e^{\gamma}}{\zeta(2)} \times \log \theta(x) \times \prod_{q \le x} \left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right)^{-1}.$$

We know the Riemann hypothesis is false, if there exists some x_0 such that $g(x_0) > 1$ or equivalent $\log g(x_0) > 0$ (Solé and Planat, 2011). We know the bound (Solé and Planat, 2011):

$$\log g(x) \ge \log f(x) - \frac{2}{x}$$

where f is introduced in the Nicolas paper (Nicolas, 1983):

$$f(x) = e^{\gamma} \times \log \theta(x) \times \prod_{q \le x} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right).$$

From the same paper (Nicolas, 1983), we know when the Riemann hypothesis is false, then there is a 0 < b < 1 such that $\limsup x^{-b} \times f(x) > 0$ and hence $\limsup \log f(x) \gg \log x$, where the symbol \gg means "much greater than" (Solé and Planat, 2011). In this way, if the Riemann hypothesis is false, then there are infinitely many natural numbers x such that $\log f(x) \geq \log x$ (Nicolas, 1983), (Solé and Planat, 2011). Since $\frac{2}{x} = o(\log x)$, the result follows because there would be infinitely many x_0 such that $\log g(x_0) > 0$ (Solé and Planat, 2011).

The following is a key theorem.

Theorem 2.2.

$$\sum_{q} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \log(1 + \frac{1}{q}) \right) = \log(\zeta(2)) - H.$$

Proof. If we add H to

$$\sum_{q} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \log(1 + \frac{1}{q}) \right)$$

then we obtain that

$$\begin{split} H + \sum_{q} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \log(1 + \frac{1}{q}) \right) \\ &= H + \sum_{q} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \log(\frac{q+1}{q}) \right) \\ &= \sum_{q} \left(\log(\frac{q}{q-1}) - \frac{1}{q} \right) + \sum_{q} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \log(\frac{q+1}{q}) \right) \\ &= \sum_{q} \left(\log(\frac{q}{q-1}) - \log(\frac{q+1}{q}) \right) \\ &= \sum_{q} \left(\log(\frac{q}{q-1}) + \log(\frac{q}{q+1}) \right) \\ &= \sum_{q} \left(\log(\frac{q^2}{(q-1) \times (q+1)}) \right) \\ &= \sum_{q} \left(\log(\frac{q^2}{(q^2-1)}) \right) \\ &= \log(\frac{\pi^2}{6}) \\ &= \log(\zeta(2)) \end{split}$$

according to the theorems 1.5 and 1.6. Therefore, the proof is done. $\hfill\Box$

This is the main insight.

Theorem 2.3. Dedekind (q_n) holds for all prime numbers $q_n > 3$ if and only if the inequality

$$\sum_{q} \frac{1}{q} > B + \sum_{q > q_n} \log(1 + \frac{1}{q}) + \log\log\theta(q_n)$$

is satisfied for all prime numbers $q_n > 3$.

Proof. We start from the inequality:

$$\prod_{q \le q_n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{q} \right) > \frac{e^{\gamma}}{\zeta(2)} \times \log \theta(q_n).$$

If we apply the logarithm to the both sides of the inequality, then

$$\log(\zeta(2)) + \sum_{q \le q_n} \log(1 + \frac{1}{q}) > \gamma + \log\log\theta(q_n).$$

This is the same as

$$\log(\zeta(2)) - H + \sum_{q < q_n} \log(1 + \frac{1}{q}) > B + \log\log\theta(q_n)$$

which is

$$\sum_{q} \left(\frac{1}{q} - \log(1 + \frac{1}{q})\right) + \sum_{q \le q_n} \log(1 + \frac{1}{q}) > B + \log\log\theta(q_n)$$

according to the theorem 2.2. Let's distribute the elements of the inequality to obtain that

$$\sum_{q} \frac{1}{q} > B + \sum_{q > q_n} \log(1 + \frac{1}{q}) + \log\log\theta(q_n)$$

when $\mathsf{Dedekind}(q_n)$ holds. The same happens in the reverse implication. \square

This is a new criterion based on the Dedekind inequality.

Theorem 2.4. The Riemann hypothesis is true if the inequality

$$\theta(q_n)^{1+\frac{1}{q_n}} \ge \theta(q_{n+1})$$

is satisfied for all sufficiently large prime numbers q_n .

Proof. The inequality

$$\sum_{q} \frac{1}{q} > B + \sum_{q > q_n} \log(1 + \frac{1}{q}) + \log\log\theta(q_n)$$

is satisfied when

$$\sum_{q} \frac{1}{q} > B + \sum_{q \geq q_n} \log(1 + \frac{1}{q}) + \log\log\theta(q_n)$$

is also satisfied. Since in the inequality

$$\sum_{q} \frac{1}{q} > B + \sum_{q \ge q_n} \log(1 + \frac{1}{q}) + \log\log\theta(q_n)$$

only changes the value of

$$\sum_{q>q_n} \log(1+\frac{1}{q}) + \log\log\theta(q_n).$$

Hence, it is enough to show that

$$\log(1 + \frac{1}{q_n}) + \log\log\theta(q_n) \ge \log\log\theta(q_{n+1})$$

for all sufficiently large prime numbers q_n according to the theorems 2.1 and 2.3. Certainly, if the inequality

$$\log(1 + \frac{1}{q_n}) + \log\log\theta(q_n) \ge \log\log\theta(q_{n+1})$$

is satisfied for all sufficiently large prime numbers q_n , then it cannot exist infinitely many prime numbers q_n for which $\mathsf{Dedekind}(q_n)$ do not hold. By contraposition, we know that the Riemann hypothesis should be true. This is the same as

$$\log\left(\left(1+\frac{1}{q_n}\right)\times\log\theta(q_n)\right)\geq\log\log\theta(q_{n+1}).$$

That is equivalent to

$$\log \log \theta(q_n)^{1+\frac{1}{q_n}} \ge \log \log \theta(q_{n+1}).$$

Therefore, the Riemann hypothesis is true when

$$\theta(q_n)^{1+\frac{1}{q_n}} \ge \theta(q_{n+1})$$

is satisfied for all sufficiently large prime numbers q_n .

Theorem 2.5. The Riemann hypothesis is true when $(1-\frac{0.15}{\log^3 x})^{\frac{1}{x}} \times x^{\frac{1}{x}} \geq 1 + \frac{\log(1-\frac{0.15}{\log^3 x}) + \log x}{x}$ is satisfied for all sufficiently large positive numbers x.

Proof. Because of the theorem 2.4, we know that the Riemann hypothesis is true when

$$\theta(q_n)^{1+\frac{1}{q_n}} \ge \theta(q_{n+1})$$

is satisfied for all sufficiently large prime numbers q_n . This is the same as

$$\theta(q_n)^{1+\frac{1}{q_n}} \ge \theta(q_n) + \log(q_{n+1})$$

which is

$$\theta(q_n)^{\frac{1}{q_n}} \ge 1 + \frac{\log(q_{n+1})}{\theta(q_n)}.$$

We use the theorem 1.2 to show that

$$\theta(q_n)^{\frac{1}{q_n}} > (1 - \frac{0.15}{\log^3 q_n})^{\frac{1}{q_n}} \times q_n^{\frac{1}{q_n}}$$

for a sufficiently large prime number q_n . Under our assumption in this theorem, we have that

$$(1 - \frac{0.15}{\log^3 q_n})^{\frac{1}{q_n}} \times q_n^{\frac{1}{q_n}} \ge 1 + \frac{\log(1 - \frac{0.15}{\log^3 q_n}) + \log q_n}{q_n}$$

Using the theorems 1.1 and 1.3, we only need to show that

$$\frac{\theta(q_n)}{\log q_{n+1}} \ge n \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log n} + \frac{\log \log n}{4 \times \log^2 n}\right)$$

$$> \eta_{q_n} \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log n} + \frac{\log \log n}{4 \times \log^2 n}\right)$$

$$> \frac{q_n}{\log q_n + \log\left(1 - \frac{0.15}{\log^3 q_n}\right)}$$

for a sufficiently large prime number q_n where

$$\begin{split} \eta_{q_n} &= \frac{q_n}{\log q_n} + \frac{q_n}{\log^2 q_n} + \frac{2 \times q_n}{\log^3 q_n} + \frac{5.85 \times q_n}{\log^4 q_n} \\ &+ \frac{23.85 \times q_n}{\log^5 q_n} + \frac{119.25 \times q_n}{\log^6 q_n} + \frac{715.5 \times q_n}{\log^7 q_n} + \frac{5008.5 \times q_n}{\log^8 q_n}. \end{split}$$

However, this implies that

$$\frac{\log(1 - \frac{0.15}{\log^3 q_n}) + \log q_n}{q_n} > \frac{\log(q_{n+1})}{\theta(q_n)}$$

which is equal to

$$1 + \frac{\log(1 - \frac{0.15}{\log^3 q_n}) + \log q_n}{q_n} > 1 + \frac{\log(q_{n+1})}{\theta(q_n)}$$

and finally, the proof is complete.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank his mother, maternal brother and his friend Sonia for their support.

3. Discussion

The practical uses of the Riemann hypothesis include many propositions which are known as true under the Riemann hypothesis, and some that can be shown equivalent to the Riemann hypothesis (Borwein et al., 2008). Certainly, the Riemann hypothesis is close related to various mathematical topics such as the distribution of prime numbers, the growth of arithmetic functions, the Lindelöf hypothesis, the large prime gap conjecture, etc (Borwein et al.,

2008). Indeed, a possible proof of the Riemann hypothesis could spur considerable advances in many mathematical areas, such as the number theory and pure mathematics in general (Borwein et al., 2008). We hope this paper could contribute in some way to this goal considered as the Holy Grail of Mathematics by several authors (Borwein et al., 2008).

References

- A Wolfram Web Resource. WolframAlpha, Computational Intelligence. https://www.wolframalpha.com/input, 2022. Accessed on 2022-03-04.
- Christian Axler. New Estimates for Some Functions Defined Over Primes. *Integers*, 18, 2018.
- Peter B. Borwein, Stephen Choi, Brendan Rooney, and Andrea Weirathmueller. *The Riemann Hypothesis: A Resource for the Afficionado and Virtuoso Alike*, volume 27. Springer Science & Business Media, 2008.
- YoungJu Choie, Nicolas Lichiardopol, Pieter Moree, and Patrick Solé. On Robin's criterion for the Riemann hypothesis. *Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux*, 19(2):357–372, 2007. doi: 10.5802/jtnb.591.
- Harold M. Edwards. *Riemann's Zeta Function*. Dover Publications, 2001. ISBN 0-486-41740-9.
- Aditya Ghosh. An asymptotic formula for the Chebyshev theta function. *Notes on Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics*, 25(4):1–7, 2019. doi: 10.7546/nntdm.2019. 25.4.1-7.
- Franz Mertens. Ein Beitrag zur analytischen Zahlentheorie. *J. reine angew. Math.*, 1874(78):46–62, 1874. doi: 10.1515/crll.1874.78.46. URL https://doi.org/10.1515/crll.1874.78.46.
- Jean-Louis Nicolas. Petites valeurs de la fonction d'Euler. *Journal of number theory*, 17(3):375–388, 1983. doi: 10. 1016/0022-314X(83)90055-0.
- Patrick Solé and Michel Planat. Extreme values of the Dedekind ψ function. *Journal of Combinatorics and Number Theory*, 3(1):33–38, 2011.